### **SYLLABUS**

1. Information about the program

1.1 Institution of higher education	"Babeş-Bolyai" University, Cluj-Napoca
1.2 Faculty	Geography
1.3 Department	Regional Geography and Territorial Planning
1.4 Study area	Geography
1.5 Level of study	Masters
1.6 Program of study	Regional Planning and Development

## 2. Information about the course

2.1 Title of the cou	ırse	CROS	CROSSBORDER REGIONS					
2.2 Course taught	Course taught by Dr. Raularian Rusu, Associate Professor							
2.3 Seminar taught	t by		Dr. Raularian Rusu, Associate Professor					
2.4 Year of study	I	I 2.5		II	2.6 Method of	Е	2.7 Type of course	RQ
		Semester			assessment			

**3. Time allocation** (hours per semester of pedagogical activities)

3.1 Hours per week	3	of which: 3.2 course	1	3.3 seminar	2	
3.4 Total hours – semester	42	of which: 3.5	14	3.6 seminar	28	
		course				
Time allocation						
Study for exams						
Additional documentation in the library, on the internet and in the field and working on the						
semester project and presentation						
Reading for the seminar and writing the essays						
Tutoring						
Exam						
Other activities						

3.7 Total hours for individual	108
study	
3.8 Total hours per semester	150
3.9 Number of credits	6

**4. Prerequisites** (if any)

4.1 curriculum- related	• No
4.2 competence- related	• No

**5. Other requirements** (if any)

5.1 for the course	•	Classroom with desktop/laptop and projector.
5.2 for the seminar	•	Classroom with desktop/laptop, projector and proper cartographic
		materials.

# 6. Specific competencies

	•	C1 The master students should familiarize with the concepts of border, boundary, border and crossborder region, as well as their features.
es es		Ç i
n; ci	•	C2 Initiation in the study of crossborder relations, cooperation between regions belonging to
Professional competencies		different states and the results and effects of these relations.
e ofes	•	C3 The knowledge of real-life examples of crossborder cooperation at the level of the European
r(		Union and the projects and programmes developed in the case of these regions.
F C	•	C4 Acquiring the abilities and skills to assess the features of crossborder regions at global,
		European and national levels.
	•	CT1 The integrative approach of the territorial elements and structures and the better knowledge
se ies		regarding the methods of interdisciplinary operational geographical research.
Transverse competencies	•	CT2 Creating opportunities to capitalize the amount of information achieved at fundamental and
nsv		related courses.
ra mp	•	CT3 Generating the necessary abilities for multidisciplinary cooperation, communication and the
L CO		building of partnership relations based on the application of achieved knowledge and the
		development of transdisciplinary scientific reasoning.

**7. Course objectives** (resulting from the table of specific competencies)

7. Course objectives (resuming from the tuble of specific competencies)				
7.1 General objective	To achieve fundamental knowledge concerning crossborder cooperation.			
7.2 Specific	• The students will gain understanding of the theoretical and practical			
objectives	models of analysis for crossborder regions.			
	• The students will gain understanding of the present practical and			
	applicative framework regarding crossborder regions.			
	• The students will apply modern methods of investigation for territorial			
	systems in order to reduce the shortcomings and the critical states that			
	affect the border and crossborder spatial structures and to set up			
	development strategies.			
	• The setting up of abilities of communication and operationalization of			
	the achieved knowledge by writing and defending reports regarding			
	study cases presented during the course.			

#### 8. Outline

o. Outilite		
8.1 Course	Teaching methods	Observations
1. The concepts of border, boundary, border	• Oral lecturing with intearctive sections	
region and crossborder region. Definitions.	<ul> <li>Heuristic conversation</li> </ul>	2 hours
General features.	• Debate	
<b>2.</b> Types of cooperation in the border regions.	Heuristic conversation	
The emergence of crossborder regions.	<ul> <li>Problematization</li> </ul>	2 hours
Manners of approaching the issue in the	<ul> <li>Oral lecturing with intearctive sections</li> </ul>	2 Hours
geographical literature.		
<b>3.</b> Euroregions and their importance	<ul> <li>Interactive lecturing</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Heuristic conversation</li> </ul>	2 hours
	<ul> <li>Problematization</li> </ul>	
<b>4.</b> Principles, stages and strategies of	<ul> <li>Oral lecturing with intearctive sections</li> </ul>	
crossborder cooperation	<ul> <li>Heuristic conversation</li> </ul>	2 hours
	• Debate	
<b>5.</b> Fields of crossborder cooperation	• Oral lecturing with intearctive sections	
	Heuristic conversation	2 hours
	• Debate	
<b>6.</b> Instruments of crossborder cooperation	Interactive lecturing	
•	• Exemplification	2 hours
	• Oral lecturing with intearctive sections	
7. Øresund crossborder region and Romanian	Oral lecturing with intearctive sections	2.1
crossborder regions	Heuristic conversation	2 hours
<u> </u>		

_	Coco	study
•	Case	Study

#### Bibliography

- **1. Boar, N.** (2005), *Regiunea transfrontalieră româno-ucraineană a Maramureșului*, Edit. Presa Universitară Clujeană, Cluj-Napoca.
- 2. Cabouret, M. (1983), Les régions de l'Europe du Nord, Edit. Sedes, Paris.
- 3. Caloianu, N., Gârbacea, V., Hârjoabă, I., Iancu, Silvia, Marin, I. (1982), Geografia continentelor. Europa, Edit. Didactică și Pedagogică, București.
- 4. Cocean, P. (2005), Geografia Europei, Edit. Presa Universitară Clujeană, Cluj-Napoca.
- 5. Ilieş, A. (2004), România. Euroregiuni, Edit. Universității din Oradea, Oradea.
- **6. Popa, N.** (2006), Frontiere, regiuni transfrontaliere și dezvoltare regională în Europa mediană, Edit. Universității de Vest, Timișoara.

7. Rusu, R. (2007), Organizarea spațiului geografic în Banat, Edit, Mirton, Timisoara.

7. Rusu, R. (2007), Organizarea spațiului geogra		
8.2 Seminar	Teaching methods	Observations
<b>1.</b> Types of borders. From impermeable barriers to the	Lecturing	
Schengen space.	Problematization	2 hours
	Team research	
<b>2.</b> Crossborder regions in the world and in Europe	<ul> <li>Problematization</li> </ul>	
	Comparative analysis	2 hours
	Explanation	
<b>3.</b> The emergence of the first crossborder regions.	Heuristic conversation	
Crossborder cooperation.	Problematization	2 hours
	Explanation	
<b>4.</b> Crossborder regions in Europe. Case study:	Problematization	
Øresund region	Explanation	2 hours
	Case study	
5. Crossborder regions in Europe. Case study:	Problematization	
Barcelona macroregion	Explanation	2 hours
	Case study	
<b>6.</b> The role of transport infrastructure in the	Lecturing	
development of crossborder cooperation.	Problematization	2 hours
	Comparative analysis	
7. The role of decision makers in starting and	Lecturing	
consolidating crossborder cooperation	Problematization	2 hours
	Comparative analysis	
<b>8.</b> European funds as a triggering factor of crossborder	Heuristic conversation	
cooperation in the countries of the European Union	Problematization	2 hours
	Explanation	
9. Crossborder regions in Romania. Romanian	Heuristic conversation	
Euroregions.	Problematization	2 hours
	Comparative analysis	
10. Carpathian Euroregion. Bihor-Hajdu-Bihar	Problematization	
Euroregion. Crișana as a crossborder region	Explanation	2 hours
	Case study	
11. Dunăre-Tisa-Mureș-Criș Euroregion. Banat as a	Problematization	
crossborder region	Explanation	2 hours
	• Case study	
12. Maramureș crossborder region	Problematization	
,	• Explanation	2 hours
	Case study	_ 110 0110
13. Crossborder regions in the East of Romania.	Problematization	
Bukovina as a crossborder region	• Explanation	2 hours
	Case study	2 110015
<b>14.</b> Crossborder regions in the South of Romania.	Problematization	2 hours
14. Crossoorder regions in the bouth of Rolliania.	- I TOUICHIAUZAUUH	2 HOUIS

Dobrudja as a crossborder region	Explanation
	Case study

#### **Bibliography**

- **1. Boar, N.** (2005), *Regiunea transfrontalieră româno-ucraineană a Maramureșului*, Edit. Presa Universitară Clujeană, Cluj-Napoca.
- **2.** Cocean, P., Filip, S. (2008), Geografia regională a României, Edit. Presa Universitară Clujeană, Cluj-Napoca.
- 3. Ilies, A. (2004), România. Euroregiuni, Edit. Universității din Oradea, Oradea.
- **4. Popa**, **N.** (2006), Frontiere, regiuni transfrontaliere și dezvoltare regională în Europa mediană, Edit. Universității de Vest, Timișoara.
- 5. Rusu, R. (2007), Organizarea spațiului geografic în Banat, Edit. Mirton, Timișoara.

# 9. The corroboration of the subject contents with the expectations of the representatives of the epistemic community, professional associations and representative employers in the field associated to the programme

- The subject contents are in accordance with the contents taught in other universities, both in Romania and abroad.
- From the analysis of the opinions provided by the employers regarding the preferential attributes of the specialists team, it came out that they highly appreciate their professionalism, which confirms that the structure and contents of the educational curriculum provided for this study programme are correct, comprehensive and efficient.

#### 10. Evaluation

Type of activity	10.1 Evaluation criteria	10.2 Methods of evaluation	10.3 Weight in final grade
10.4 Course	<ul> <li>Checking the degree of systematization and use of learned notions</li> <li>Logical coherence and force of the argument</li> <li>Degree of learning the specific scientific terminology</li> <li>Attitude aspects: interest for individual study</li> </ul>	Sequential (oral) evaluation during semester:  - Free speech  - Evaluating conversation  - Oral questioning	5%
	ilidividuai study	Written (final) examination during the exam session: test	65%
10.5 Seminar/lab	<ul> <li>Capacity to apply in practice</li> <li>Capacity to operate with the learned concepts</li> <li>Criteria related to attitude aspects: conscieniousness, interest for individual study</li> </ul>	Presentation of seminar subjects, setting up and writing a small research project	25%
	formana standard	Active participation to seminars	5%

#### 10.6 Minimal performance standard

- The capacity to explain the main characteristics of crossborder regions.
- To perform the tasks required at seminars.

Date of filling Signature of course tenure holder 20.04.2022 Dr. Raularian Rusu, Associate Professor

Signature of seminar tenure holder Dr. Raularian Rusu, Associate Professor