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Abstract

The politics of symbolic representation is uncovered by our examining the represented cultural landscape. In this process, semiotics and discourse analysis were the methods complementing each other and enabling us to underline how Romanians' understanding of power relations, of past and present events and ultimately of reality was shaped by signs, symbols, and stories in official visual materials. This research aims to discuss the geography of Romania's southern border during the socialist period (1948-1989). This geography is made of the Danube and of the Danubian settlements as represented in images within Geography of Romania school textbooks and picture postcards. Thus, the aim of our article is to decode the visual construction of territorial identity of the Danubian settlements in Romania. To reach this aim, we considered the following research questions: Is the Danube the main subject in these representations or a secondary one? How is the Danube represented? What are the key-themes of its representation? How is the past of the settlements on the Danube integrated into the visual discourse during the socialist period? What was the role played by the Danube in the history of these settlements according to these representations (i.e. textbooks and picture postcards)? Results show that the Danube is a liminal space, changing functions depending on historical, political, economic, and social circumstances. The Danube is represented as landscape, defined through its economic (i.e. transport, commerce) or historical functions (i.e. border to the south or communication route with the west). Due to its representations, also the other elements seem truthful and "natural". The presence of people and activities in the displayed places inform and educate visitors and inhabitants how to use space (contemplative, for entertainment, for relaxation, to learn, etc.). We provide an informed understanding of Romania through visual imagery: representations are singling out its uniqueness and achievements, fitting into the metanarrative of socialist propaganda.

1. INTRODUCTION

"Beautiful and rich is our homeland. Page by page, the geography book has shown us how beautiful and rich is our country. Lying in that part of Europe where coldness and warmth shake their hands, where the mountains, the hills, and the fields mix harmoniously into a land of rare beauty, crossed by clear and cold waters, tight-belted in the south by the silver Danube and bathed in the east by the foaming
waters of the Black Sea – Romania is our homeland, precious inheritance from our ancestors” (our transl., Iancu, Motrescu and Negulescu, 1985, pp. 121-122).

The quotation above encloses in a nutshell the socialist metanarrative about Romania: a beautiful and rich inheritance of the Romanian people, who should cherish it, as well as its history. We argue that, during socialist Romania (1948-1989), the official state policies and discourses guided the reordering of space and of Romanians’ visualizations of the national territory at the southern border of Romania, in the form of the Danube, with Yugoslavia (235.5 km) and with Bulgaria (469.5 km). This reordering and the production of a new visuality (i.e. way of seeing) rendered urban development as a political and historical product.

In this article, we explore the medium of photography as a specific kind of intercultural text used in two sources distributed in socialist Romania: Geography of Romania school textbooks and picture postcards. We argue that social, economic, and political processes shape the selected visual imagery. Cultural production is structured by all these societal processes and visual materials are cultural products of a documentary nature. In addition to visual imagery, visualities are specific to each society: “ways of seeing are historically, geographically, culturally and socially specific” (Rose, 2014, p. 17; cf. also Banini and Ilovan, 2021a, 2021b).

However, when selecting and interpreting images, we were concerned with avoiding to search for photographs that only confirmed what we supposed about them: being representations of territorial identity based on past heritage and contemporary development. We knew from our previous studies on representations of socialist Romania in picture postcards that this was the case (cf. Ilovan and Maroşi, 2018; Ilovan, 2020d; Merciu, Cercelaus and Merciu, 2021). Rather, in this research, we wanted to see how narratives were created about the territorial identities of the urban settlements on the banks of the Danube.

We have chosen the Danubian case study because it is a region of Romania whose evolution was profoundly marked due to its status as a border area and due to the Danube-related development, thus strongly connecting historical and geographical factors. Territorial identities narratives were interpreted for the eighteen Danubian settlements of Romania selected for this study. In such narratives, we aimed to establish what was the relationship between the various images, answering to the following: What are the key themes for the territorial identity of border Danubian settlements as represented in geography of Romania school textbooks and in picture postcards? How was national space produced by visual representations of the period? Which are the key visual themes in images of development? What is the knowledge required to construct and read “correctly” these visual materials?

What are the icons in the analysed visual imagery, which of the represented objects repeat, what is the purpose of placing those images in a certain relation?

After a brief review of the scientific literature on the subject, this contribution goes on to examine the building of territorial identities for the Romanian Danubian settlements during socialism by means of visual intertextuality.

2. STATE OF THE ART

Beginning with the 1970s, culture became crucial in understanding social life (processes, identities, changes) and, in Geography, this focus shift was described as the “cultural turn” (Banini, 2019, pp. 14-15). This visual rendering of the world is a representation process, whose products are never neutral or innocent. Visual representations interpret the world, they “read” it in a certain way and then they advertise it for other eyes (Ilovan et al., 2019). This means that an ideology-guided pedagogy of space through visual representations can influence viewers to interpret the world and society in broadly similar ways (Hall, 1997, p. 2). However, this does not exclude that various groups may differ in the ways they make sense of the world. Representations structure the way we create meanings and behave; therefore, it is significant for understanding present opinions, attitudes, and behaviours to analyse and understand past representations. Especially as representations are passed down, more or less altered, to the next generations.

Either explicit or implicit, the made meanings or representations are very influential and impact our lives, especially in the visual form, because the visual is central to our direct perception of the world, through the senses, and it has also become so due to the increasing number of produced visual materials that contribute to the cultural construction of our social life: “modernity is ocularcentric” (Rose, 2014, p. 4).

In this context, our theoretical approach and methodology are inspired by two key concepts: discourse and intertextuality. According to Rose, discourse “refers to groups of statements that structure the way a thing is thought, and the way we act based on that thinking. In other words, discourse is a particular knowledge about the world which shapes how the world is understood and how things are done in it” (2014, p. 190). This definition enabled our argumentation that the official discourse in visual imagery constructed accounts of socialist Romania as a successful political, economic, social, and cultural societal project. Considering this framework, the concept of intertextuality underlines that texts are placed in relation to other texts and that discourses are the result of their interaction: “intertextuality refers to the way that the meanings of any one discursive image or text
depend not only on that one text or image, but also on the meanings carried by other images and texts” (Rose, 2014, p. 191; cf. also Barthes, 1977 and Kristeva, 1980).

Previous research showed that political regimes shaped urban spaces (Czepczyński, 2008; Diener and Hagen, 2013; Drummond and Young, 2020; Lefebvre, 1991; Light and Young, 2010; Zukin, 1993), imposing a new spatial organization and new symbols. Like the constructed urban cultural landscapes, their representations are “configurations of symbols and signs” (Cosgrove and Jackson, 1987, p. 96). During socialism, which was defined “as a system of governance and as a source for ideas about how to ‘perfect’ the city” (Drummond and Young, 2020, p. 6), planning all aspects of life and the territory were key state activities (cf. Cucu, 2019; Zahariade, 2011).

Socialist political, economic, and social circumstances are reflected into the territorial identities of areas at the local, regional, and national level. By territorial identity, we understand the distinguishing physical, cultural, and historical features that make an area different from another one (Ilovan, 2020b, p. 17). Within this context, the representation is defined as “a symbol or image, or as the process of rendering something (an object, event, idea, or perception) intelligible and identifiable” (Dubow, 2009, p. 645). Another approach is under the sign of social construction, and representation is defined as a discursive practice (Dubow, 2009, p. 646). Reflecting the territorial identity features of an area, representations are mental images of reality, which were transformed or constructed through cognitive processes (Ilovan et al., 2019).

Images are visual representations that are produced and circulated through various media. School textbooks and picture postcards are the two media providing the research material of this article. In recent years, research on the hidden agendas of educational discourses in school textbooks has become richer (cf. Bagoly-Simó, 2013, for a longitudinal analysis of research on geography textbooks). Many articles focus on analysing especially Geography and History textbooks, discussing the representations they circulate, such as representations of the Other in Geography textbooks (Hajdú and Paasi, 1995), changing images of countries (Paasi, 1999), national territories in post-Soviet textbooks (Silova, Yaqub and Palandjian, 2014), the relationship between nation, state, school, and textbook (Williams, 2014), the diverse roles of textbooks (Venezky, 1992), and the politics of the textbook (Apple and Christian-Smith, 1991).

It is a fact that Geography builds canons (Mayhew, 2015), but during totalitarian political regimes, also the scientific canon of Geography was shaped (Caramelea, 2015; Dulamă and Ilovan, 2015, 2017; Dulamă et al., 2019). The topics are those preferred by the governing ideology and by the political hegemonic discourse (Kučerová, Kučera and Novotná, 2018). History and social studies textbooks have been researched in their connection to national identity and cultural representations (Márza, 2015), while “the discursive construction of geography and how it relates to national identity” was not a primary subject (Silova, Yaqub and Palandjian, 2014, p. 109). However, several studies exist so far emphasizing the necessity of realising a critical discourse analysis of school textbooks (Hajdú and Paasi, 1995; Paasi, 1999). A close connection between power and the production of geographical knowledge was underlined in previous research (Hajdú and Paasi, 1995; Ilovan, 2020c; Paasi, 1999; Silova, Yaqub and Palandjian, 2014). Features of land and people were represented in textbooks, as the truth or reality of the political regime.

Geography of Romania textbooks were scrutinised for their ideological discourse during the 1864-1945 period (Caramelea, 2015), the first decades of the 20th century (Ilovan, 2020c), and the socialist one (Ilovan, Bagoly-Simó and Herbstritt, 2018). These studies are shaped by the same or similar research aims as in other recent studies at the international level (cf. Kučerová, Kučera and Novotná, 2018).

Besides research on Geography of Romania textbooks, at the national level, the ideology-visual discourse connection was explored and discussed for the socialist period in picture postcards (Ilovan, 2019, 2020c, 2020d; Ilovan and Maroşi, 2018; Merciu, Ilovan and Cerdeux, 2020; Merciu, Cerdeux and Merciu, 2021) and in comics for communist pioneers (Ilovan, 2020a, 2021a, 2021b). At the international level, the relationship between ideology and representations in picture postcards was paid much attention, as picture postcards are considered a “reservoir of high memorability”, because they “reflect and shape particular discourses about place and identity” (Vibha, 2009, p. 57; cf. also Ferguson, 2006; Laakso and Östman, 1999, 2001; Márza, 2018; Winiwarter, 2008).

Our interpretation of images from the two sources (i.e. school textbooks and postcards) as visual representations draws heavily on the auteur theory which underlines that: “the most important aspect in understanding a visual image is what its maker intended to show” (Rose, 2014, p. 16). However, there are also researchers who argue that the “wider visual context is more significant for what the image means than what the artists thought they were doing” (Rose, 2014, p. 26, quoting Barthes, 1977, pp. 145-146), as with poststructuralism attention shifts from author to reader or interpretant, that is to a never-ending production of meanings (cf. Banini, 2019, pp. 97-112).

In the case of images produced under a totalitarian regime and where censorship controlled cultural production and educational resources, we consider that also this wider context, where the images circulated and were viewed, was under the direct
influence of the totalitarian state and its control mechanisms (cf. Verdery, 1991; Zaharia, 2011). Thus, the state aimed to control the site of production, the image contents, and the site of audiencing.

Visual discourses of socialism and modernization continue to leave their marks on post-socialist Romania and its citizens. Therefore, post-socialist spaces transcend political and economic mechanisms (cf. Verdery, 1991; Zaharia, 2011). Thus, the traces of the socialist legacy are visible in post-socialist Romania. Future “appropriate” opportunities for development and the creation of the highly needed development strategies (Zotic and Alexandru, 2015) are influenced by how Romanians represent space for themselves and how they perceive it (cf. Niţă, 2021), and that is why our study is not only filling a gap in research about representations of socialist territorial development, but it is also necessary for present day creation of policies.

To sum up, our hypothesis is that certain Romanian institutions (i.e. the mass-media, the school) mobilized a certain visuality that allowed political power, through representations, to order life and make a hierarchy of values and places in socialist Romania, imposing from above and advertising a particular way of seeing the world and its relation to modernization (i.e. through an ideological lens).

This dominant visuality produced knowledge, correct visual cognition and organizing of information (Hayles, 2006, quoted in Rose, 2014, p. 10). Ways of seeing the world, produced, and reproduced through generations can have social effects (cf. Banini and Ilovan, 2021a, 2021b), that is why a study on socialist visual representations of Romania is significant also in its post-socialist context.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1. Study area

The Danube is the second longest river of Europe (2,858 km), after the Volga. It flows towards the south-east, down to the Black Sea. The inferior sector of the Danube is on the territory of Romania, being 1,075 km long (Ghinea, 2002, p. 555) and creating the Danube Delta. In Romania, in the Danube Meadow, there are many settlements among which eighteen cities (Moldova Nouă, Orșova, Drobeta-Turnu Severin, Calafat, Corabia, Turnu Măgurele, Zimnicea, Giurgiu, Olteniţa, Câlăraşi, Feteşti, Cernavodă, Hârşova, Brăila, Galaţi, Isaccea, Tulcea, and Sulina). Our study area excludes the Danube Delta (because it would need special attention in a stand-alone study), thus remaining the sector from Baziaş to Galaţi (Table 1, Fig. 1).

Table 1. Settlements on the banks of the Danube, in the Romanian sector, down to the Danube Delta (but excluding this).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Settlement</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Baziaş</td>
<td>Village in Socol commune; in its area, the Danube enters the Romanian territory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Modova Nouă</td>
<td>Town, within the Danube Defile, in the homonymous depression, at 250-300 m altitude, on the left of the Danube Valley, at the border with Yugoslavia (during socialism, and with Serbia at present). River harbour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Orşova</td>
<td>Municipium, on the shore of the accumulation lake Iron Gates I, on the Danube, in a small gulf, in the area where the Cerna flows into the Danube, at 29 km north-west of Drobeta Turnu Severin. When creating the Iron Gates I accumulation lake, the old area of the town was flooded, and the population was moved to a new area, on the terraces of the Danube, of the Cerna river and on the southern slope of the Almăj Mountains, where the authorities built a new town from scratch (1966-1971), including the villages Jupalnic, Tufări, and Coramnic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Drobeta Turnu Severin</td>
<td>Municipium, county residence, situated where the Danube exits the Iron Gates Defile, on the shore of the accumulation lake Iron Gates I, at 65 m altitude, at the border with Yugoslavia, at present with Serbia. River harbour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Calafat</td>
<td>Municipium, on the left of the Danube, in the west of the Desnaţiui Plain, at the border with Bulgaria. River harbour and border point for crossing the Danube with the ferry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Bechet</td>
<td>Town, in the large meadow on the left of the Danube, at the border with Bulgaria. River harbour and customs point (border point for crossing the Danube with the ferry)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Corabia</td>
<td>Town, 80 km south of Slatina municipium. River harbour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Turnu</td>
<td>Municipium, at the southern fringe of the Boian Plain, at 23-29 m altitude, downstream the</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Danube collects most of the rivers of Romania except for some from Dobrudja. It is also highly significant for navigation, electricity production, fishing, and supplying water to population, agriculture, and industry (Posea, Bogdan and Zăvoianu, 2005; Vijulie et al., 2019).
3.2. Data collection

Here we include a brief presentation about the process of finding our visual materials. The primary sources for our research are photographs and related text in school textbooks and picture postcards during socialist Romania (1948-1989). We considered the relation images in picture postcards had with other images from other media. For this research, we chose the photographs in Geography of Romania school textbooks (more likely to be discussing territorial development than textbooks of other school subjects). We argue that this relation is based on content, and even on explicit cross-referencing, as, frequently, textbooks involved exercises where the use of picture postcards and other illustrations was recommended (Iancu, Motrescu and Negulescu, 1985; Iancu, Motrescu and Florescu, 1989; Giurcăneanu, Muşat and Ghica, 1986). Besides the content-based relation, these images established also a relation based on a shared location of display or viewing/reading: the school.

Still images on commercial websites in the form of scanned picture postcards were collected during December 2020 and January 2021, considering the pros and cons of sourcing, and using online databases, for visual materials (for more details about this, cf. Bertram, 2010 and Rose, 2014, pp. 328-344). In this article, we reproduce only images in picture postcards from our collections, although a larger number of picture postcards were sourced from commercial sites and analysed. We analysed approximately 500 picture postcards. These images illustrate our argument and can have their own agency; therefore, we include a selection to enable the readers of this article to interact with them while reading. The secondary sources are books and articles on the theme of working with representations and on the topic of socialist Romania.

For postcards, we looked for the name of the photographer who took the picture in order to mention correctly the authorship, but we did not consider this information to have any significant bearing on the image, because of the ideological context photographers had to work in and because their selection of sites and objects to be photographed was not a personal choice. Political prescriptions narrowed down the repertoire of photographers in order to produce certain kinds of images. Then, we looked for the date, to be able to place the picture postcard during the period, the title, and the publishing house. The title gave us information about the represented places and could make differences in the interpretation of the image. However, not all images were titled, or the title was too general (e.g. name of the city/town and “a view”). The criteria for choosing the collages were: at least one representation of the Danube and representations of territorial development. We grouped postcards by settlements, and we created two separate folders for the Iron Gates and the Danube-Black Sea Canal. We found the highest number of postcards for the city of Galați.

We aimed to analyse picture postcards not only through what they illustrated, what they offered for viewing, but also by the seeing they invited. We argue that inviting particular ways of seeing can educate the spectators’ visuality on a long term. Thus, we decided to select collages, or stories in images. The Danube is not a ubiquitous element of the collages, that is why we had to look for the ones which included its representations. We contextualised and, in fact, annotated these in picture postcards with other images on the same topic from Geography of Romania school textbooks and with their related text.

Four Geography of Romania school textbooks have been analysed: two for the 4th grade (Iancu, Motrescu and Negulescu, 1985; Iancu, Motrescu and Florescu, 1989), one for the 8th grade (Giurcăneanu, Muşat and Ghica, 1986), and one for the 12th grade (Tufescu, Giurcăneanu and Mierlă, 1981). All of them are from the 1980s, the last decade of socialist Romania. We used these based on availability and since they were later editions of previous textbooks and most likely to present the latest and many achievements of the socialist nation. Besides these textbooks, several methodologies of geography from the socialist period of Romania were analysed (Ardeleanu et al., 1964; Dăneţ, Enache and Olănescu, 1984; Mândruţ, Ungureanu and Mierlă, 1982), considering the provided didactic advice about the use of visual imagery in the lessons on the Geography of Romania.

3.3. Data processing

Images, likewise maps, are very strong statements. When working with visual imagery, we kept in mind that “numbers do not translate easily into significance” (Rose, 2014, p. 102), and that is why we used qualitative analysis. There is no assurance that what occurs more often is necessarily more significant than what occurs more seldom or than what is omitted on purpose.

In our interpretative work of images (cf. Crang, 2010) from the two above-mentioned media, we used the method of discourse analysis. We employed discourse analysis (cf. Dittmer, 2010), which is a semiotic method, on the two visual imagery types: photographs in school textbooks and picture postcards. The interpretative possibilities offered by discourse analysis helped us decide to choose it in order to uncover how meanings were made. To make this method work well, we first looked for contextual knowledge about the visual imagery we worked with: the production of textbooks and methodologies of geography available for teachers when using these and the production of picture postcards in socialist Romania. There is very little information about the
production of images in the two sources in order to understand how the context might have influenced meaning construction during their reading.

However, much of the ideological content of these representations is particularly easy to read. Because we analysed the visual discourse proposed by picture postcards, in this article, we did several fine-grained readings of meaning-making instances for a series of picture postcards, and we used collages to show how the story was presented by means of using montage. A montage was used to enable viewers adopt the point of view and way of reading of the author of that composition. Montage is "a term related to the composition of moving images, and refers to the temporal organization of a film [...] montage is how those shots are put together, that is, how they are presented" (Rose, 2014, p. 71).

We selected collages, we identified their elements (the symbolism of individual images) and the relationships among them. These collages produce narratives in spatial coherent representations as they refer to well-established territorial units (i.e. a town, a city, or a county). The narrative structure, as in the case of comics, can be read from left to right and from the top to the bottom of the collage, and this is sometimes made explicit by numbering the photographs, on the back of the postcard, for the sake of naming what they present. However, the viewer can create his or her narrative structure when looking at a picture postcard collage and thus there are several possibilities of composing the narrative.

"All knowledge is vulnerable to semiological reinterpretation" (Rose, 2014, p. 107) and so are the included images analysed in this article. As semiotics proved to be a useful method for critical visual analysis of advertisements and we considered images in textbooks and picture postcards as an uncostly and powerful form of advertising contemporary achievements, we used semiotics for uncovering the making of meaning in these representations as they presented a certain version of the socialist world: the official visual discourse.

The theoretical framework of semiotics as a method for analysing visual representations is necessary when we consider representations to be collections of relevant signs. Thus, the basic unit of language is the sign. This consists of two parts, which are arbitrarily connected: the signified (concept or object) and the signifier (the sound or image attached to the signified). The sign relates to the referent, which is the actual object (de Saussure, 1986; according to de Saussure, the signifier coincides with the object of representation, and corresponds to one, absolute, unique signified or meaning).

In addition to de Saussure, the American philosopher Charles Sanders Pierce developed a typology of signs which is useful when working with symbols. According to Pierce, “symbolic signs have a conventionalized but clearly arbitrary relation between signifier and signified” (Rose, 2014, p. 119). What is particularly useful in Pierce’s distinction between paradigmatic and syntagmatic signs is that signs do not get significance only on their own, but also in relation to other signs (i.e. that surround them in the image). We argue that a syntagmatic relationship is in place between the images of the picture postcard collage. Moreover, extrameaning is gained by those signs that appeared in previous representations (in other images, from the same or other media).

We interpreted the signs (elements) composing these images and this enabled us to look carefully at the contents of the images in one postcard collage and the signified relationships among them. As forms of advertising development and territorial prestige based on a variety of resources, picture postcards, likewise advertisements, were at the core of structuring Romanians’ ways of seeing. Other texts, carrying the same or similar messages (like school textbooks), reinforced ideological meanings and interpretations, forming a visual ideology of the period and visual intertextuality.

We analysed the images in collages individually and then collectively, as part of a group of representations whose meaning was constructed relationally. For these images, representational significance changed when used in collages than single standing. They are to be read in connection with the other images in the respective story (i.e. collage). The positioning of the images in the collage, their size and the relationship with the other images reflect their political, economic, and social links within socialist Romania. Our question was: How do collages (to be read “visual narratives”) relate to other collages in producing the narratives about socialist development?

Captions for picture postcards are a key device in producing meaning in a certain way. Objects, subjects, and subjectivities are produced in the framework of a particular visuality (i.e. way of seeing). The readers of this article may experience the visuality that we, as authors, propose, by interacting with the titles we gave to the figures (besides the original title of the figures in school textbooks and of the respective picture postcards).

The cultural context helps making sense of the meaning of signs and of their potential meanings when in connection with more information or certain types of information from the respective cultural context. This context provides the image with a cultural anchorage, the same way the word-text provides the image with the necessary anchorage allowing the reader to choose from the potential denotative meanings. This image-written text complementarity was named the relay-function (Barthes, 1977, pp. 38-41). Content analysis is the first empirical step made in analysing these photographs, in
order to get a sense of what they showed. The analysis of visual materials is first realised, then of the accompanying text, and finally of the relationship between image-text and word-text. This is an “important reminder that nothing is ever just visual, and that all visual images are accompanied by other kinds of semiotic resources that are integral to their meaning” (Rose, 2014, p. 139).

Concerning textbooks, our discourse analysis focused on images and language anchoring the messages of the respective visual imagery, using semiology also as a complementary method of uncovering/de-constructing the meanings of these visual materials (to be read as “understanding”, as meaning is not laid to be received, but it is constructed in the process of viewing/reading). Therefore, we paid careful attention to images themselves and to the connected materials that enabled our decoding. Through discourse analysis, we explored how accounts of territorial identities for Danubian settlements were constructed as real, and these representations became authoritative accounts. Knowledge about these settlements is produced through the compilation of images and their meanings.

The books of didactics of geography may be interpreted too as documents that show the relationship between state education authorities (the ministry, the commissions working on the development of the curriculum and textbooks) and the act of teaching.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

This section includes six parts, focusing on the results of our semiotic and discourse analyses of the research material. First, we read territorial identity for the Danube and the Romanian Danubian settlements in Geography of Romania school textbooks, secondly, we contextualised the use of images in picture postcards in Romania, and thirdly, we presented the most frequent representations in picture postcards for the Romanian Danubian settlements.

Next, we presented and discussed the territorial identity stories of the Danubian settlements based on a selection of picture postcard collages and we reflected on interacting with representations and on the “misreading” of official visuality, by giving an example. Finally, we discussed visual intertextuality and the discourse in school textbooks and picture postcards.

4.1. Reading territorial identity for the Danube and the Romanian Danubian settlements in Geography of Romania school textbooks

In this part, we analyse the visual representations of the Danube and of Romanian Danubian settlements in Geography of Romania school textbooks from the socialist period. School textbooks offer authoritative accounts of the socialist reality on all dimensions of life. The school claims to inform and educate. This function is performed with the help of the school textbooks. The four selected school textbooks provided us with representations of the high socialist period of Romania: the dismantling of the previous capitalist system was celebrated through achievements that were the results of socialist reforms; heavy industrialisation was represented through factories and industrial platforms.

In Geography of Romania school textbooks of the period, the ideological discourse on development was structured in persuasive word-text and accompanying images having the function to illustrate arguments. The written and visual narratives of socialist economic progress were backed up by statistical data. In the case of picture postcards, viewers are relatively free in their use of these visual materials, but this is not so for the other resource: school textbooks. Considering that “the use of semiotic resources is heavily shaped by the established meanings of those resources” (Rose, 2014, p. 141), we argue that representations are part of an education process and thus intrinsic to the people who interacted with them.

Geographical education, propaganda and economic progress were connected through the then and now discourse, which is ideologically relevant. As methodologies of geography underlined (Ardeleanu et al., 1964; Dăneţ, Enache and Olănescu, 1984; Mândruţ, Ungureanu and Mierlă, 1982), a key relationship should be shaped between geographical education and patriotism. Therefore, propaganda information was meant to be transmitted about the achievements of the socialist system: “One should insist on the most recent industrial achievements, also showing the future development perspectives (The Iron and Steel Factories in Galaţi [...]” (our transl., Ardeleanu et al., 1964, p. 159).

In addition, propaganda information should have been received through mass-media, besides the classical school textbook:

“Informing themselves from the lecture of newspapers and radio-TV broadcasting presents aspects such as: on the high run-off rivers (Olt, Argeș, Bistriţa, Siret, Cerna, etc.), they have built and are still building tens of hydropower plants; in many centres they build numerous industrial objectives; Bucharest metro is being built; they built the Danube-Black Sea canal; in the country, they modernise roads, they increase the surface of the plantations for fruiters and vineyards, etc., about which the geography school textbooks will not write only after a number of years. Only through scanning the newspapers, one may understand how are achieved the great objectives envisioned in the development plans of socialist Romania, why it matters to be economical with resources, the commercial and cultural exchanges of our country, how our Party’s and state’s policy is asserted daily in the international
sphere, how the friendship relationships are realised between our state and other states, which are the principles that form the basis of these relations” (our transl., Dăneţ, Enache and Olănescu, 1984, p. 45).

Methods of geography pointed out that using visual materials was a means of modernising education. First, maps were considered true images of the homeland, on which the Danube was among the landmarks, due to its border function, but also a representative geographical element of Romania alongside the other major rivers: “Thus, a student who graduates high school should interpret correctly, for instance, a climatic map, a land use map, an economic map. But this requires that teachers be more concerned in familiarizing students with such maps, that are, in fact, various ‘images’ of the homeland; the maps in the ‘Atlasul Naţional al R. S. România’ [National Atlas of the Socialist Republic of Romania] are very useful and suggestive from this point of view. We consider that a skill that should be formed progressively during school years, but which should be accomplished in the 12th grade, is that students are able to sketch independently the contour of our country and several landmarks (the Danube, the main rivers). Based on experience, we can appreciate that forming this skill does not raise difficult problems, on the condition that it is frequently practiced during lessons” (our transl., Mândruţ, Ungureanu and Mierlă, 1982, p. 15). Thus, textbooks include activities whose revision consisted of working with the map or writing a text, using images to illustrate it. The focus of these activities on territorial development (either natural or anthropic) is obvious: “Write an essay on one of the following topics. Find images (illustrations) that you glue within your paper: ‘The Danube Delta, the youngest Romanian land’; ‘Danube, Danube – dustless way’ [...]” (our transl., Iancu, Motrescu and Negulescu, 1985, p. 126).

In this educational context, we approach the visual discourse about the Romanian sector of the Danube and of the Danubian settlements (excluding the Danube Delta) based on four Geography of Romania school textbooks (Table 2).

Table 2. Photographs of the Danube and of Danubian settlements in the Geography of Romania school textbooks, the 1980s*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The new town Orşova</td>
<td>The Danube-Black Sea Canal</td>
<td>Orşova – the new town built on the shores of the Iron Gates I Lake</td>
<td>Iron Gates Hydropower Plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The Iron Gates – “Iron Gates I” hydro energy and navigation system. Grandiose works realised by our country in collaboration with the Socialist Federative Republic of Yugoslavia</td>
<td>With the ship on the Danube</td>
<td>Iron Gates I Hydropower Plant</td>
<td>Steel and Iron Factories in Galaţi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Galaţi. Aspect from the Iron and Steel Factories, the biggest “fire fortress” of the country</td>
<td>Galaţi. Aspect from the Steel and Iron Factories</td>
<td>The Friendship Bridge at Giurgiu-Ruse – with railway and road</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>The Danube at Giurgiu</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>View from the Steel and Iron Factories, a workshop – Galaţi</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* All titles of figures mentioned are the original ones (exact phrasing from the textbook, translated into English).
** New photographs in comparison to the 1985 version.

4.1.1. Image-text relationship in the 4th grade Geography of Romania school textbook, the 1985 edition

In this textbook, there are three representations which have been already recognized as ideologically relevant for the discourse on Romanian development (cf. Zahariade, 2011, p. 43; Ilovan, 2019, 2020d). The image with the Iron Gates I hydro energy and navigation system (Fig. 2) appears in this textbook in the section on rivers. In addition, explanatory text underlines the importance of this hydrotechnical achievement from multiple points of view: “At the Iron Gates, our country, together with the Socialist...
Federative Republic of Yugoslavia, has built a great hydropower plant. Through the realised works, navigation on this Danube sector is much easier. At present, two big hydropower plants are being built on the Danube: one, named Iron Gates II, that we build with the Socialist Federative Republic of Yugoslavia, and another one at Turnu Măgurele-Nikopol, with the Popular Republic of Bulgaria” (our transl., Iancu, Motrescu and Negulescu, 1985, p. 46).

“In truth, if the tourist walks on the shadowy paths of the Carpathian Mountains, he will meet fairy-tale places: such as the Bicaz, Dâmbovicioarei and Turzii Gorges, where the man of our time has dammed the torrential waters of the Bistriţa at Bicaz, the crystal waters of the Argeş at Vidraru, of the Lotru at Vidra, of the Danube at the Iron Gates […]” (our transl., Iancu, Motrescu and Negulescu, 1985, pp. 115-118).

Moreover, the political, symbolic, and ideological relevance of this objective is underlined by architects analysing the socialist era architecture:

“Industrial architecture not only remained at the heart of communist economic ideology, but also it could sometimes be endowed with additional symbolic connotations. For example, the huge steel mill at Galați or the Iron Gates hydroelectric plant were meant to boost Gheorghiu-Dej’s ‘dissident’ economic policy, challenging Moscow and the COMECON” [The Council for Mutual Economic Assistance] (Zahariade, 2011, p. 43).

The new town of Orșova (the result of its rebuilding in the new location) is represented. Orșova is included along the textual representation for the Western Carpathians. It seems uninhabited from the angle it is photographed (Fig. 3).

No people or movement, the street is empty, but we may imagine that there are people because of the two parked cars and the many blocks of flats. No people at the Iron Gates I either, but monumental industrial architecture (see Fig. 2). Industrial platforms and industrial architecture were given priority in representations as well as any related landscape changes, as underlined by Zahariade: “these buildings and industrial installations brought about spectacular changes in the physiognomy of the natural landscape”; “one of the most spectacular terra-forming operations was the submerision of Ada Kaleh Island and the relocation of the city of Orșova to make way for the Iron Gates hydroelectric plant on the Danube” (Zahariade, 2011, p. 42).

Also the image on the first cover of this textbook represents Romania through its industrial and technical achievements (constructions, a dam, chemical and heavy industry, electrification of the country, a boat) (Fig. 4).

These symbols are distributed (at least some of them) to refer to the location of certain development achievements in their respective regions. Forestry and wood architecture is symbolised for northern Romania – Maramureş. The dam in the south refers to the hydropower plant of Iron Gates I, the boat is overlapping Dobrudja due to its neighbouring the Black Sea and the Danube Delta, etc. (Fig. 4).

At the section about rivers, authors present an organic image of the entire Romanian territory induced by the features of the hydrographical network, compared with a functioning mechanism evoking unity:
“Almost all big rivers spring from the Carpathians, and from there they head in all directions, like the rungs of a huge wheel, and flow into the Danube, which, in its turn, flows into the Black Sea” (our transl., Iancu, Motrescu and Negulescu, 1985, p. 45).

And this unity of the Romanian territory is very old because: “Many songs and legends speak about the Danube” (our transl., Iancu, Motrescu and Negulescu, 1985, p. 45). The tributaries of the Danube – their features are listed and where hydropower plants are built (Iancu, Motrescu and Negulescu, 1985, pp. 48-49) – are described considering their usefulness in providing the necessary electricity for the urban area and enabling the development of the irrigation system: “in the Danube Meadow and in the meadows of the rivers, they will continue the action of taking out of the floodable area important surfaces of land that will be given to agriculture. [...] As a result of these measures, the agricultural production of our country increases more and more” (our transl., Iancu, Motrescu and Negulescu, 1985, pp. 98-99).

Industry is represented in nine photographs and one is of Galaţi, in black and white, with the Iron and Steel Factories (Fig. 5).

Industry is represented in nine photographs and one is of Galaţi, in black and white, with the Iron and Steel Factories (Fig. 5).

At the beginning of the 1960s, starts the building of the Iron and Steel Factories in Galaţi, the biggest in Romania; these will become the symbol of socialist Romania, associated with industrial independence from the U.S.S.R. In 1967, they are functional: “The biggest iron and steel centres of our country are in Galaţi, where, during the years of socialism started to function the biggest iron and steel factories of our country. In recent years, new iron and steel platforms have appeared on the map of our country: Tîrgovişte, Călăraşi, Buzău, Iaşi, etc. (our transl., Iancu, Motrescu and Negulescu, 1985, p. 81).

One can notice the othering of decline within the now and then discourse: decline was not characteristic of the socialist Romanian society. At present, new factories are built nearby Brăila city: “Only in the years of socialist construction the reed received great importance. It is used for producing paper and other products. With this aim, nearby Brăila city, large chemical factories have been built” (our transl., Iancu, Motrescu and Negulescu, 1985, p. 40).

The presence of the Danube is discussed in relation to the industrial activity of the Danubian harbours: “In the harbours of Constanţa, Galaţi, Mangalia, Olteniţa, and Drobeta-Turnu Severin, there are big shipyards where they produce maritime and fluvial ships” (our transl., Iancu, Motrescu and Negulescu, 1985, p. 85).

Transport routes are built or modernised and the Danube is crossed by some of them, testifying the usefulness of socialist constructions: “In the years of socialist constructions, they paved and modernised many roads of our entire country. The bridge over the Danube, between Giurgeni and Vadu Oii, connects by road the capital city and the seaside” (our transl., Iancu, Motrescu and Negulescu, 1985, p. 111).

4.1.2. Analysis of the photographs in the 4th grade Geography of Romania school textbook, the 1989 edition

New images are introduced in the 1989 edition of the 4th grade textbook, mainly for the illustration of developmental achievements, proving the political and economic power of the communist regime: The Danube-Black Sea Canal and Dam (Fig. 6).

The Danube-Black Sea Canal (RO, Canalul Dunăre-Marea Neagră) is a navigable canal on the Danube river (from Cernavodă to Constanţa and Năvodari). This sailing route mainly on the valley of the Carasu river, which unites the Danube (south of Cernavodă) with the Black Sea (at Agigea-Constanţa South), shortens the route of the ships heading to Constanţa with approximately 400 km and ensures the irrigation of 200,000 ha of agricultural land. Through
the Rhine-Main-Danube Canal, it links the North Sea to the Black Sea.

The idea of building a canal in the Dobrudja is quite old. Projects for realising this date back to the 19th century (in 1834, the first measurements are made at the request of sultan Mahmud II, and in 1844, by Austrian engineers and geologists, while that part of the country was still under Ottoman suzerainty), but these were not turned into reality because of their high costs and the needed but unavailable technology. The first Romanian proposal to build a navigable canal between Cernavodă and Constanța was realised by agronomist Ion Ionescu de la Brad (1818-1891) and dates to 1850, but without any concrete action. The idea is taken up in 1922 by engineer Leon Stoenescu-Dunăre, who offers for public discussion a project for a canal on the Cernavodă-Medgidia-Murfatlar-Valul lui Traian-Constanța route (Ghinea, 2002, p. 347).

The work began in 1949 and, with some interruptions, ended in 1984. In 1949, they started the works for realizing a waterway between Cernavodă and Midia (north of Constanța). Political prisoners were used as workforce in the 1950s, while deaths are estimated at several thousand because of the inhumane work conditions, of fatigue through forced work. In June 1953, the works to the canal are abandoned because of economic reasons, and the diggings were turned to good account starting with 1959, within “Mircea Vodă Irrigation Complex”, later developed as the “Carasu Irrigation System”. The project was approved in June 1973, and the construction works begun in the autumn of 1975 were finished in the spring of 1984 (it was opened on the 26th of May 1984 by Nicolae Ceaușescu).

The canal crosses the Southern Dobrudja Tableland, with an altitude under 150 m in this area and a total length of 64.2 km, allowing for sailing in two directions at the same time. At both ends, the canal has a watergate (one at Cernavodă and another one at Agigea). Along its route, the canal is crossed by seven bridges, out of which one is mixt (road and railway) from Cernavodă (over the watergate), 571 m long and with a maximum opening of 81 m. The Danube-Black Sea Canal has a 26.6 km long branch (Poarta Albă-Năvodari-Midia Canal) (Ghinea, 2002, p. 348). The Canal was an iconic construction landmark (see Fig. 6).

Heavy industry is represented through four new images with factories from renowned industrial centres of Romania and one of them is of Galați, presenting an industrial landscape (aspect from the Steel and Iron Factories) (Fig. 7).

“With the ship on the Danube” (Fig. 8) is the title of a new image showing maritime transports of passengers.

4.1.3. Analysis of the photographs in the 8th grade Geography of Romania school textbook, the 1986 edition

Physical geography topics are illustrated by photographs with the Iron Gates I and the Danube, from our study area. Orșova town is described as the town on the shores of the Iron Gates I Lake (Fig. 9).
New places appeared as a result of socialist production of large-scale constructions for housing, industry, and commercial consumption (i.e. hotels, restaurants). At the chapter on rivers, the Danube is represented at Giurgiu (Fig. 11).

The industrial activity is provided with a representation of Galaţi (Fig. 12).

An image referring to the development of transports in Romania is that with the new bridge over the Danube, with two lanes of road, railway traffic, as well as space for pedestrians, connecting Romania to Bulgaria (Fig. 13).

Giurgiu-Ruse Bridge (named by the Soviets the Friendship Bridge, but after the fall of communism, it has been called the Danube Bridge), is a steel bridge, over 2 km long, built over the Danube between 1952 and 1954 (opened on the 20th of June 1954) (Ghinea, 2002, p. 610). For this, the communist regime was supported by the U.S.S.R., this being the first bridge over the Danube that connects Romania to Bulgaria. The central section is mobile and can be raised for big ships to pass. School textbooks are potent media vehicles of the visual hegemonic discourse on power and territory. In the case of the Danubian settlements, borders are represented through the good cooperation relations between Romania and two neighbouring countries: Yugoslavia (The Iron Gates I project, see Fig. 2) and Bulgaria (the Friendship Bridge, see Fig. 13).

4.1.4. Analysis of the photographs in the 12th grade Geography of Romania school textbook, the 1981 edition

There are four images presenting new industrial architecture and two are the ones for the Iron Gates and Galaţi. The industrial activity in the study area is represented by the production of electrical energy at Iron Gates I Hydropower Plant (Fig. 14) and the ferrous metallurgy in Galaţi (Fig. 15). Iron Gates I was a model of industrial architecture and achievement, a landmark of “Ceauşescu epoch”. Large scale from scratch constructions showed the political and economic potency of the regime. Representations of large-scale construction projects point out to the spatial experiences provided to the Romanians: Iron Gates I, the Friendship Bridge, new housing neighbourhoods, and industrial sites.

Fig. 15. Iconic and popular expressions of development: “Steel and Iron Factories in Galați” (source: Tufescu, Giurcăneanu and Mierlă, 1981, p. 77).

Besides images illustrating the Danube and activities of the Danubian settlements, in the 4th grade textbooks, authors included exercises based on drawings, where students could recognise some of the iconic achievements of socialist Romania, featuring the construction sector and the uses of rivers, presented in the respective textbooks either through text or photographs: blocks of flats, industrial infrastructure, bridges, dams, irrigation systems, and navigable canals (Fig. 16).

4.1.5. Some concluding insights into the analysed photographs

Audiences are different and so are the invited ways of seeing. However, especially in textbooks, seeing is equated with knowing, because seeing the objects and landscapes represented in those photographs means learning about Romania or getting to know it through this mediated or constructed visual experience provided by the school textbook. In the analysed school textbooks, scientific authority is legitimated by statistical claims and illustrated with snapshots from reality. Those included in school textbooks claimed to be accurate and objective. Thus, scientific knowledge is constructed through images.

This discoursive visuality is part of the hegemonic political discourse in socialist Romania. Discourse is a manifestation of power and “power is everywhere, since discourse too is everywhere” (Rose, 2014, p. 192). It should be noted that although omnipresent and omnipotent, Romania was not simply a product of repressive acts, but Romanians had their own agency in contributing to this discourse formation and this is visible in schools. In the word-text of these school textbooks, Romanians are represented as a great, united, and hopeful community of working individuals, loving their homeland and their people, and trusting the Party. School is a socially powerful institution claiming to possess and transmit scientific truth and valid knowledge. Considering that access to truth and knowledge was possible through the educational system, schools were under high ideological pressure and had to collaborate with the political regime in the creation of knowledge. Thus, “the construction of claims to truth lies at the heart of the intersection of power/knowledge” (Rose, 2014, p. 193).

The school makes very strong claims about the veracity of its accounts, both scientifically and ideologically, as school textbooks were approved by the Ministry of Education and the Education System [RO, Ministerul Educaţiei şi Învăţământului]. Thus, they were not free from workings of power and reproduced political subjectivities. Geography of Romania school textbooks are hosting powerful discourses on the territorial identities of Romania, claiming the presented knowledge to be true and thus they were very strong in producing social effects. Because in these textbook images, representations were given a truth status, besides the ideological one, their social effects were more powerful. Finally, textbooks delivered a regime of truth that teachers and students adhered to in order to achieve their aims at their job and in learning.

This institutional location (in schools) of the visual discourse makes it more dominant and influential than others due to its social authority. Therefore, the statements made in school textbooks are
endowed with both scientific and political authority. The truth regime of the school assumes representational truth and scientific rationality, thus supporting the ideological discourse in school textbooks. Textbooks had the function of informing and realizing political education. In this way, the powerful used the school system and the apparent veracity of photographs to make their ideological discourse substantial.

Summing up, knowledge is discursive and presented in visual form in a series of products used in schools, whose primary goal is that of educating the students. The relationship between school textbooks and ideology is quite transparent, in the contents of Geography of Romania school textbooks and methodics (i.e. didactics) of geography, which is not so obvious at a first reading of picture postcards. Therefore, in the next part, we present the results of our research on the production of picture postcards in Romania, from its beginning to the end of the 1980s, and then we aim to prove that the key messages of textbooks related to picture postcards and were also reflected by these.

4.2. Contextualising the image. Picture postcards in Romania

In Romania, the first postcards were published at the end of the 19th century when it was approved “The Law on Postcards”, through the Decree no. 789 of the 31st of March 1873, which introduced in the service of postal communication a new object named postal card (Ceucă, 2015). The same year, the first postal cards are published in the U.S.A., France, Germany, Spain, and Serbia (Alexandrescu, 2013).

The first illustrated Romanian postcard was manufactured (but not circulated), being conceived and realised by the poet Al. Macedonski, in 1888 (Alexandrescu, 2013). Three years later, it is circulated the first picture postcard of Timișoara municipium, which represents the central pavilion of the Industrial and Agricultural Exhibition, one of the biggest events in the field during that period, in Europe (Mochnás, 2015). Later, on the 29th of August 1894, the first official series production of Romanian postcards was published. This was sold in Bucharest, at the opening of the Romanian Co-operators’ Exhibition, when also the first official philatelic exhibition took place (Alexandrescu, 2013).

In 1895, Carol Müller’s bookstore in Bucharest, sells picture postcards named “Souvenir of Bucharest” and “Souvenir of Sinaia”, considered by the Romanian specialists as the first set of lithographic illustrated postcards in Bucharest (Alexandrescu, 2013). In 1938, Petru Murea, a postal office employee, published the history of the Romanian postal stamps, elaborating a special chapter for postcards with a dedicated part for answers. These are “composed by two united postcards which are sold together” (Murea, 1938, p. 325, cited in Ceucă, 2015, p. 655).

Because postcards were the easiest communication means during this period at the international level, their production registered a climax at the end of the 19th century and at the beginning of the 20th century. Beginning with 1920, production began its decline until 1950, when it came back in force, but with lower amplitude than it its glory period. At the national level, during the communist period, the production of postcards was used as a propaganda tool to advertise the economic, cultural, and technical achievements of the policies initiated and implemented by the Romanian Communist Party.

Thus, most representations in picture postcards highlighted the changes registered by the urban area in relation with socialist development policies aiming to modernise towns and cities (civic centres, universal stores, hotels, factories, Syndicate Houses of Culture, and mass-housing neighbourhoods). Displaying the city means foregrounding socialist development. The effect is that of advertising (in representations of the present) and the evocative (in those of the past) (Ilovan, 2020d).

In addition, a frequent theme in picture postcards representations was the touristic advertising of the national territory, theme common also in other socialist countries (Ștefan, 2017). Beginning with the 1960s, the communist government of Romania proposed the development of international tourism, which took place through a diplomatic opening towards capitalist countries, such as France, West Germany, and the U.S.A. (Ștefan, 2017). Later, the socialist government gave up this politics, and promoted the tourism activity only at the national level as a socialist identity for the working class. At the same time, the regime adopted a more pragmatic attitude that foregrounded the economic dimension of tourism (Ștefan, 2017).

During the communist period, the production of postcards was favoured by the fact that they were a communication means, especially due to their low price and because other communication means among Romanians were limited (reduced number of people having telephone at home and thus preferring to use postcards) (Ilovan and Maroși, 2018, pp. 137-138). The radio, the TV, the written mass-media offered limited information. If initially the programmes of the public television (the only available one) were varied and attractive daily, starting with 1985, due to ideological reasons, these were limited to only two hours a day (from 8 to 10 p.m.), dedicated mainly to the personality cult of President Nicolae Ceaușescu and his wife (Silivestru, 2018). Cutting down the programme of the National Television was justified by the fact that the working people should rest and be able to work. The Romanian radio and television had to contribute
through its entire activity to the internal and external policies of the Party and of the Romanian state, to inform the working people about the Party’s decision and contribute to the people’s socialist education, enlarging their political-ideological and cultural horizon.

In 1985, the state shut down radio broadcasters to save electricity. In fact, this was a strategy to support Romanian nationalism by interfering with those broadcasting in Hungarian and German. The influence of the political factor was very high on information broadcasted through television and radio, or in the written mass media, regulations being established through laws and Party documents. The Law of Journalism adopted in 1974 stipulated that “the press activates under the leadership of the Romanian Communist Party, the leading political force of the whole society in the Socialist Republic of Romania” (Pasăilă, 2004, p. 258).

Thus, the role of postcards as a tool of communist propaganda was justified. We underline a special situation for using postcards as the only communication means with their families of those who were forced to work for building the Danube–Black Sea Canal (Lefter, 2017). For constructing the canal, the state used forced labour and those working there had no other means of communicating with their families so that they were not given the opportunity to send information about the very difficult working conditions.

There are two sub-stages in the production of postcards during the communist period: (1) the Popular Republic of Romania, when postcards were black and white, but had an exceptional photographic quality, and (2) the period of the Socialist Republic of Romania, when the first colour picture postcards appeared, which, starting with 1963, became permanent, but they were less artistic and had a lower quality compared to the previous ones, because they were edited at low costs (Boț, 2017). 1973 marked the anniversary of a century since the first Romanian postcard was published. This moment was celebrated by editing a catalogue of postcards (Ceucă, 2015).

How images were produced may contribute to the construction of meaning, to the “reality effect” or apparent truthfulness in case of photographic representations, for instance, in comparison to other images (e.g. drawings, paintings). Photographs are expected to provide us with glimpses into the truth of a certain moment.

In the case of the reception of information in textbooks, the rules are policed by teachers. The authority of the school was used to persuade that such representations of reality were truthful. This was true also when using picture postcards during lessons. However, there is no assumption that the implementation of rules or of educating a certain way of seeing are entirely successful activities.

4.3. Most frequent representations in picture postcards for the Romanian Danubian settlements

The ways in which picture postcards are displaying icons, narratives or short discourses on territorial identities are two: single images and collages. We include a synthesis about the key representations for each Danubian settlement considered in this study. We use the syntagm “old postcards” for those published before the socialist period.

Baziaş is repressed only in old postcards, and the most frequently illustrated place is the former railway station. The settlement is known due to two elements: one is geographically important (the place where the Danube enters the territory of Romania) and the other one is economically significant (it represented the terminus point of the first railway Baziaş–Oraviţa, which was continued by the segment Oraviţa–Anina, known under the name of “the coal line” (the line was for the transport of coal exploited at Anina; from Baziaş harbour, the coal was transported on the Danube upstream to Austria). The construction of the railway began in 1847 and was finished in 1854. The sector Oraviţa–Baziaş had a 62.5 km total length. On the 1st of November 1856, the passenger traffic was opened (Lăcrițeanu și Popescu, 2007). This railway continued on the territory of Yugoslavia, on the route Baziaş–Bela Crvka (Biserica Albă, today in Serbia)-Jasenovo (Serbia)-Iam (Rusnac, 2011). During the communist period, the railway was closed according to Josip Broz Tito’s decision (except for the segment Vârşeţ-Biserica Albă) to terminate its functioning in Yugoslavia (the present territory of Serbia), and the economy of Baziaş declined. Not used since 1950, the monumental building of Baziaş railway station was demolished in 1960, when it was considered that the respective place would be flooded by a raise in the level of the Danube, once the dam of the Iron Gates was built (Rusnac, 2011). This explains the lack of representing Baziaş in picture postcards during the socialist period.

Moldova Nouă developed much during the socialist period, when it was declared a town (1956), due to its industrial profile, starting to exploit copper in 1957, and in 1965 they set up a factory for the primary processing of this ore. The town hosted the second largest copper exploitation area of Romania (Manea, 2003). For Moldova Nouă, there are few representations. During communism there were two circulated representations: one from the 1960s, with the House of Culture, and another one of 1975, where the viewer sees the new settlement and the Danube, titled: “Moldova Nouă. On the bank of the Danube”, both testifying for the modernisation of the town.

For Orşova, there are representations of old postcards edited in German and Romanian; the most frequent represented elements are Cazanele Dunării.
(part of the Danube Defile), and panoramic images of the town with a view towards the Danube. We noticed a continuity of representations of the harbour in postcards during socialism. We found collages inviting visitors to Orșova (“Visit Orșova”) or mentioning “Greetings from Orșova”, and “Greetings from New Orșova”. The centre of the new city is represented, with new blocks of flats along the Danube and the harbour. The modern Hotel “Dierma” (name of a Dacian-Roman settlement, which became a municipium under the rule of the Roman Emperor Septimiu Sever, 193-211), then a view towards the gulf of Cerna, the river station, the continuity of representations of the harbour in the town with a view towards the Danube. We noticed a representation of the monument of the heroes who fought in the war. Other representations are for iconic buildings of the communist power (the Popular Council, the House of Culture) and with a religious function (the cathedral).

For Corabia, we found few picture postcards. In the old ones, there are represented buildings in the central area of the town. During socialism, frequently represented are the ruins of the Dacian settlement Sucidava, 4-5 km from the city. There is continuity in representing the harbour in the socialist period and before. Often represented are the Roman fountain, the monument of the heroes, the Popular Council, the Danube riverfront, the monument of Independence, the Monument of Heroes, Restaurant “Faleza”, Commercial Store “Supercoop-Corabia”. Some of those published in the 1980s were circulated in the 1990s (this could be identified through the date on their back). Thus, these representations are present also physically in after-1989 Romania.

For Turnu Măgurele, there is continuity in the representation of the town cathedral. In the old postcards, there are represented also other symbols for the town history: the central area of the town, the customs, and the police building. During communism, there are represented buildings realised during the respective period: “Gh. Gheorgiu-Dej” cinema and the boulevard, blocks of flats, the Popular Council, the universal store, the postal office building, and a church. The harbour is represented in postcards that were published only before communism.

For Zimnicea, we identified several old postcards where the harbour is represented. In those from socialism, there are representations of the Danube (among which, one in the late 1960s where “meleaguri dunărene” [Danubian places] is written by the sender on its back), or of buildings from this period (e.g. the Industrial High School, with a red Dacia partially on its back), or of buildings from this period (e.g. the Industrial High School, with a red Dacia partially photographed in the foreground). During the Independence War (1877-1878), Zimnicea was an important place for crossing the Danube by the Romanian and Russian armies. At the end of the 19th century and the beginning of the 20th, this town developed slowly, and after 1968, it underwent swift economic and urbanistic changes. Almost destroyed (over 80%) by the earthquake (7.1 magnitude on Richter scale) on the 4th of March 1977, the town was reconstructed by 1980 (Ghinea, 2002, p. 1448).

Giurgiu is represented in many postcards. We identified the continuity in representing the Clock Tower, which has been also a firemen’s tower. In some
postcards, only the tower is represented, and in other, this is only in the background when the focus is on the central area (both in postcards from before and during communism). In postcards before socialism, the focus is also on the old city streets (Prince Nicolae Street, Carol I Square, Carol I Street – names of the Romanian royalty –, Railway Station Street, Harbour Street, etc.). These streets are no longer represented during the socialist period.

There is continuity in representing the harbour, without any focus on its economic function, like in the case of Galați; in old postcards, the harbour is represented together with vessels, some in St. Gheorghe Canal, connected to this harbour. During communism, many collages represented only the harbour, or the harbour and some buildings in the city, usually those realised during the respective period: the House of Culture (1969), the CEC building [House for Economies and Agreements], the county museum, and those in the civic centre. During this period, there are many representations of the river harbour station. It appears also with the inscription: Traiască Partidul Comunist Român [Long Live the Romanian Communist Party]. The Friendship Bridge appears often, sometimes with ships in the same image. One may conclude that the Danube and related activities (i.e. shipyard) are very well represented, and these are repeated over decades.

For Oltenița, there is continuity in representing the harbour, the shipyard, and the Monument of the Heroes. During socialism, new introduced elements are the Popular Council and industrial objectives. Likewise, for Călărași, where appear representations of iconic buildings raised during the socialist period: the restaurant in the embankment area, the Popular Council, and the silos. For Cernavodă, Carol I Bridge (the bridge railway), created according to the plans of engineer Anghel Salîngiu, is represented in many picture postcards (published during and before socialism). In addition, on the 21st of November 1987, a new ensemble of railway and road bridges between Fetești and Cernavodă is open (Ghinea, 2002, p. 571). In socialism, it is represented the Cernavodă sector of the Danube-Black Sea Canal.

For Fetești, during socialism, there are representations of buildings raised in the civic centre (e.g. new central commercial complex). On postcards, appears the Monument of the Romanian Air Force, as in Fetești there was a brigade. The few postcards are dominated by representations during socialism, especially as it became a town in 1950 and it was a railway station node. It is relevant to underline that here there is the railway bridge over Borcea branch of the Danube (920 m long) – sector of the complex of railway bridges built between 1890 and 1895 by engineer Anghel Salîngiu (Ghinea, 2002, pp. 407-408).

For Hârșova, we identified old picture postcards, where it is represented that part of the town that has a view towards the Danube or the cliffs characteristic of this sector.

For Mâcin, there are just a few representations during communism: elements of local culture characteristic of the Turkish minority (the mosque appears frequently) and the socialist central area (e.g. image with two Dacia cars and the civic centre).

For Brăila, there is continuity in representing the harbour and the water tower. Other frequent representations are those including Hotel “Trajan”, V.I. Lenin Square – in front of it, the shipyard, the statue of Emperor Trajan, the river station, the riverfront, the polyvalent hall.

For Galați, we notice the continuity in representing the harbour (with more representations than for Brăila). Old postcards include representations of the floods that impacted the city in 1897. Socialist ones include representations of industrial units (e.g. the Iron and Steel Factories), ships on the Danube, the River Station, statues, individual heritage buildings, images with districts of blocks of flats, the city centre, Complex Hotel and Restaurant “Dunărea” [the Danube], Hotel “Libertatea” [Freedom], the House of Culture, Hotel “Galați”, Hotel “Turist”, the riverfront, and in general a variety of new buildings.

Nothing relevant for the socialist period appears in postcards for Băciaș, Bechet, and Hârșova. From the representations of the Iron Gates and the Danube, we see that heritage is lost and replaced with other identities (in the first case), or there is continuity in representations, especially related to economic activities. As a borderland area, during communism, mostly circulation and electricity production issues were solved through cross-border cooperation. In addition, the achievements of the communist Golden Age are the new represented elements.

From these representations, the viewer sees the southern border of Romania displayed as natural (the Danube) and political (through economic cooperation with Yugoslavia and Bulgaria). The Independence War related representations point out that this event is relevant for the territorial identities of these Danubian settlements. The Dacian and Roman past are a leitmotif, while socialist development is usually related to or dominated by the Danube.

4.4. Picture postcards collages and territorial identity stories of the Danubian settlements

Orșova is situated on the shore of the Iron Gates I accumulation lake on the Danube, in the area where the Cerna flows into the Danube. The old settlement registers several significant moments during its development: between 1772 and 1870, Orșova is part of the Military Border District of the Austrian Empire, in 1910, the shipyard is opened, and after the Union of Banat with Romania, it is declared a town (in 1923).
Between 1966 and 1974, the town is moved due to the building of the Iron Gates hydroenergetic and navigation system (Ghinea, 2002, p. 926). The idea of sacrifice for the betterment of the Romanian society is reminded through the presence of the Museum of the Iron Gates Region. The creation of the Iron Gates I – hydroenergetic and navigation complex changed the lives of those in Orșova and Ada Kaleh. That is why Orșova is represented through its political administrative building, in a collage, integrated into the greater narrative of development for Mehedinți County (Fig. 17).

Most of these photographs show daylight, but in the case of the last photograph of this collage, the objective is pictured by night in order to show how well-lit it is; it is a “fortress of light” – producing electricity. Representations of authority in the form of political-administrative buildings are often (Fig. 17, 19 and 23).

Iron Gates I Hydroelectric Power Plant is the biggest one on the Danube (Ghinea, 2002, p. 549) and it has an installed power of 1,080 MW. Present at Turnu Severin on the 7th of September 1964, Gheorghe Gheorghiu-Dej and Iosip Broz Tito laid the foundation stone, after discussions had started in 1955 at the initiative of the Romanian state. The Iron Gates is the inferior sector of the Danube Defile, between the settlements Vârĉiorova and Gura Văii, 9 km long (Ghinea, 2002, p. 990). The Iron Gates I Power Plant is placed 15 km upstream the city of Drobeta Turnu Severin. Building the dam and creating the accumulation lake enabled navigation (before that there were frequent shipwrecks, ships would sail only during daytime and crossing the defile took 120 hours) (Ghinea, 2002, p. 990). The Iron Gates I project was realised by Romania in collaboration with Yugoslavia (September 1964 – September 1971). The Iron Gates I system is one of the biggest in Europe. The dam enabled the raising of the water level with approximately 33 m over the average one and the creation of the Iron Gates I accumulation lake. The two hydroelectric power plants, with an installed power of over 11 million KWh yearly, started to function with their first water-turbines in 1971. The hydroelectric power plant on the Romanian bank started to function at maximum capacity on the 16th of May 1972 (Ghinea, 2002, pp. 990-991), when it was held also its opening. This hydroenergetic system is a symbol of the Romanian energy sector and of cross-border cooperation. It was also a symbol of the economic power of Romania during that period. The Museum of the Iron Gates I Hydroelectric Power Plant opened in 1976.

This hydroenergetic giant determined major changes in the physical and human geography of the area: the Isle Ada Kaleh disappeared under the accumulation lake, the tributaries of the Danube raised their river mouths, the old town of Orșova disappeared under the waters of the same lake, a new town was built on the terraces of Danube and on the slopes of the Almăj Mountains, and new transport routes were built (Ghinea, 2002, pp. 990-991).

The Museum of the Iron Gates Region is a regional museum in Drobeta Turnu Severin. Initially a history museum of “Trajan” High School (1912), in 1926, it is moved to the new building raised nearby the Roman castrum Drobeta. After 1945, the museum gains more importance and enlarges its research area, being named the Museum of the Iron Gates Region. Starting with 1972, it had two sections: history and sciences of nature, and later the ethnography and plastic art sections (Ghinea, 2002, p. 550).

In this collage, there are two representations of development, the political factor, culture (a building in Strehaia, raised during the socialist regime) and the museum as holder of heritage and of the history of development in the respective area (from ancient times). The identity of Mehedinți County is constructed based on the Danube and related representations, as reflected also in its coat of arms, hosting the following meanings: the golden bridge evokes the construction raised here, over the Danube, in Antiquity, by Apollodorus of Damascus; the silver construction represents the Iron Gates I Hydroelectric Power Plant, pointing out the modern achievements for electricity production; the bee is from the old coat of arms of the county, dating to 1715, reminding of both an old craft and of the locals’ industriousness (Guvernul României, 2007b). Postcards only with the coat of arms of the counties were available also single standing.

Drobeta Turnu Severin is the county residence for Mehedinți. During the Roman Antiquity, Drobeta became the first urban centre of the region and the third in the province of Dacia, after Sarmizegetusa and Apulum. The Austrians were again present in the area,
in 1829, building the harbour of the town, and in 1850, the shipyard necessary for repairing ships damaged by the difficult crossing of the Iron Gates Defile.

Until the 15th of May 1972, the municipium was named Turnu Severin. Drobeta was added to the city’s name to remind of the antique Dacian settlement Drobeta, mentioned by Ptolemy, who wrote that during the first Dacian-Roman War (101-102 A.D.), the Roman Emperor Trajan had set up in Drobeta a big and important military centre (which had stayed under Roman administration until the 3rd century A.D.) (Ghinea, 2002, p. 550). Underlining the national identity based on Tracian-Dacian precedence was a common trope in the communist ideology of the 1970s and 1980s. One day after Nicolae Ceaușescu and Josip Broz Tito participated at the opening ceremony of the Iron Gates I Hydroenergetic System, I, on the 16th of May 1972, the name of the town becomes Drobeta Turnu Severin. This sequence of events underlines the political attention paid to the legitimization of the political regime both through history, which authenticates the present, and through contemporary economic achievements.

A variety of symbols were included in collages for this city. For instance, the House of Culture and universal commercial stores, such as Commercial Store “Modern” (cf. Fig. 18), are elements in a pattern of representation focusing on cultural and economic continuity and progress in the Romanian socialist society (Ilovan, 2020d). Collages aimed to showcase development and architectural models for the urban area, and often the “search for legitimation is tied to the production of bold and highly visible urban forms and infrastructures, particularly within cities” (McClelland, 2020, p. 164). Political ideology in socialist Romania was materialised at the city scale and was particularly salient in the form of new and monumental architecture (cf. Fig. 18, with Commercial Store “Modern”, and Fig. 19, with the County Popular Council). So, what are the provided spatial experiences in these towns and cities? These relate to the Danube, to the memorialization of space and to the new way of living in the modernised city (Fig. 27).

In the second collage (Fig. 19), the general view with the Danube in the background and the water tower repeats the representation in the first collage (Fig. 18). This repetition makes the respective landscape iconic in representations. At the same time, the first image also portrays development through a large boulevard, with the postal office building (signifying communication) and the County Popular Council (the political presence). The Museum of the Iron Gates area is another iconic image that appears both in representations of the county (cf. Fig. 17) and of Drobeta Turnu Severin (Fig. 19). The museum is the image of heritage, as well as of development as it documents the evolution of the area and refers, through its name, not only to a sector of the Danube, but to the recent works for hydroenergy production and navigation. The meaning attached was the one that made buildings socialist, if not built during that period, but before (cf. Fig. 19).

In our analysis of these collages, we relied on both the historical context, historical significances, and spatial relationships, but in addition, visual axes are used in some collages in order to focus the viewer’s gaze, like in this picture postcard (Fig. 19) in the upper left corner image, where the hierarchy of places highlights the Danube in the background and the political-administrative centre on the first places.

This position of images within a collage, relative to one another, is a relevant analytical element for decoding ideological agendas or interpreting meanings that are reinforced through the juxtaposition of collages components. For instance, earlier symbolic structures are rendered less significant when placed in a
secondary position towards other newer elements of the represented city-scape (Fig. 19). These representations render “space as a political good” (Dellenbaugh-Losse, 2020, p. 17), translated into a national space, part of the national narrative of socialist Romania. Narrative and symbolic recontextualization through representations is a powerful strategy used by those in power to alter meanings. From this, one can deduce a ranking of places and urban symbols in picture postcards, the new one being given priority in representations. The past is invoked to give more significance to the present. History is called in the service of the socialist state, to legitimise socialist renewal based on authentic previous achievements. Thus, visual representations are a tool for constructing territorial and national identities in the hands of political actors, especially under a totalitarian regime, where alternative discourses were silenced.

The appearance of commemoration places led to a domination of space through ideological messages or a change of the initial message through recontextualising the representation. For instance, the monument of the Patriotic Guards, realised by sculptor Iulia Oniță, in 1974, serves to represent the Communist Party and its wise decisions for defending the socialist homeland: „On the 21st of August 1968, the Plenary of the Central Committee within the Romanian Communist Party decided to create the patriotic guards – armed and voluntary brigades of the working people in towns and villages – that would participate, together with the armed forces, at defending the People’s revolutionary conquests, at ensuring its peaceful work, the independence and security of the homeland. Creating the patriotic guards increases the defence capacity of the Socialist Republic of Romania, and besides this, our country’s contribution to fortifying the world socialist system, against the aggressive politics of Imperialism“. It was decided by President of the State Council, Nicolae Ceaușescu (Consiliul de Stat, 1968).

The coat of arms of Drobeta Turnu Severin during the communist period includes the bridge of Apollodorus of Damascus (103-105 A.D.), the lion reminds of the old sign of the Roman legions, and, on the other hand, of the fact that Severin belonged to Oltenia. The tower evokes the Medieval borough of Severin, the mural crown with seven castellated towers signifies the fact that the settlement is a municipium and county residence. The anchor signifies that it is a harbour at the Danube. In the middle of the coat of arms, there is the flag of the Romanian Communist Party and of Romania (Guvernul României, 2007a).

The Administrative Palace is one of the representative edifices of the socialist period, besides the nearby blocks of flats and Hotel “Parc”. A new urban identity is invented and a tradition of representing the urban area is established during the socialist period, as symbols of the past are connected to those of the present in an attempt to normalise the political regime through historical continuity. Both past and present are staged visually in collages in order to create an identity significant story within an urban image-building process (Fig. 18, the building of the House of Culture dating to 1912 and used for various cultural activities and meetings during socialism; the figure of Emperor Trajan evoking Romanians’ origins and witnessing contemporary changes).

Corabia town was set up in 1871, at the request of the traders in the region, when it was adopted the Law on setting up Corabia town, providing for the necessary land to build dwellings and public utilities and it established the new harbour at the Danube (The Town Hall, 2021). The basic activity remains the harbour related one and through Corabia took place the largest part of the river trade with cereals. During the communist regime, the harbour is closed. A forced industrialisation regime is imposed and it becomes a strong industrial centre (Ghinea, 2002, p. 464).

Figure 20 shows which are the actors in the story of Corabia town: history (a monument dedicated to Romanian heroes of the Independence War, and another one dedicated to a priest-leader of the 1848 revolution), education (the economic profile of the local high school in the 1970s), culture (the House of Culture)
and relaxation nearby the Danube (the commercial activity of the Restaurant “Faleza” [Riverfront]). They build a story – a vision of well-being in the modernized urban area, with all the facilities accessible (school, culture, relaxation) (Fig. 20).

The Monument of Independence (built in remembrance of the Romanian heroes who fought in the Russian-Turkish War of 1877-1878, which led to Romania’s independence), is made of a marble plinth on which stands an allegorical sculptural group, made of bronze, which represents a young woman, flag in her arm, dressed in peasant clothes, who symbolises the genius of Romania, and who points to a heroic standing soldier the place to cross the Danube and where the Romanian army actually crossed it during the respective war, to fight the Turks. In time, the plinth was covered with marble plates that displayed the names of the heroes from Corabia, who died during the Independence War, the World War I and II. This monument is placed in the city centre, surrounded by the Town Hall, the High School of Industrial Chemistry (now “Alexandru Ioan Cuza” National Collage) and a church (“The Holy Trinity”).

The educational institution is represented twice in this collage, first in the background of the above-mentioned monument and then as the main objective. On the 17th of October 1919, The Ministry of Education and Cults approves the functioning of a secondary school in Corabia. After the reform of the education system in 1948, it is mentioned the mixt high school with classes from the 1st to the 11th grade, which, starting from 1954, functions according to the Soviet model, from the 1st to the 10th grade. In 1965, the school takes up the name of High School for General Culture, with classes from the 1st to the 12th grade. In 1974, the school is transformed into the High School for Industrial Chemistry, with classes of chemistry, mechanics, and electrotechnics (Liceul Teoretic Alexandru Ioan Cuza, Corabia, 2019).

The priest Radu Popa Sapcă (1795-1876) was a local leader during the Revolution of 1848, which was considered the first step to Romania’s national independence. He advertised revolutionary ideas to the people gathered on the field nearby the village of Islaz, on the 9th of May 1848 (e.g. the abolishment of the peasants’ servitude, of forced labour and of unjustness) (Apostolache, 2010).

Giurgiu is situated both in the plain area (the Burnaz Plain) and in the Danube’s Meadow. Giurgiu Harbour was set up in the 1500-1600, initially as a freight harbour, and terminal for cereals, which were exported to the Austrian-Hungarian Empire and to the Ottoman Empire, then it was used for the export of salt (brought from Prahova area) (Duşoiu and Gacichevici, 2015). Giurgiu harbour was connected to Bucharest by railway starting with 1869 and was the first of its kind to have this direct connection (the first railway in the United Principalities of Moldavia and Wallachia) (Ghinea, 2002, p. 609; Dorobanţu, 2020, p. 7). The harbour always had the function of transferring passengers who came with the tourists ships on the Danube (Fig. 21). It was a border and customs point, providing railway and road connection to Bulgaria. It had also a shipyard.

![Giurgiu municipality, harbour, industrial and commercial city, border crossing point: The coat of arms, Giurgiu-Ruse Friendship Bridge, a view from the city centre with the Clock Tower, the ship “Nova Carpaţi” in front of the River Station, a view of the Danube with a small ship and the flag of the Socialist Republic of Romania in the foreground (1974, publ. by OSETCM).](image)

The Clock Tower, dating back to the 18th century, since the period of Ottoman occupation, a symbol of the city, is situated in Union Square (Fig. 21). It is 22 m high, built (of stone) by the Turks for military observations (rebuilt in the 19th century, when the clock was added) (Ghinea, 2002, p. 610).

The coat of arms is a representation of the historical traditions and economic, social, cultural, and scientific realities of the municipia and counties. When representing the historical past, there were used symbols from old coats of arms or old artifacts (i.e. seals and stampers) and documents (Consiliul de Stat, 1972).

On the county coat of arms for Giurgiu, it is represented the Clock Tower, a steering wheel, a ship propeller, a portion of the Friendship Bridge (see discussion at point 4.1.3), the coat of arms for the Socialist Republic of Romania, against a background formed, on the left, by the flag of the Communist Party, and, on the right, by the flag of the country (Consiliul de Stat, 1972).

The colours of the collage are used to compose the colours of the Romanian flag: the name of Giurgiu is written in red on a yellow background and the blue Danube and the sky surrounding it. Picture postcards display a modern way of life where the Danube was represented both as a touristic resource (Fig. 21) and an economic one (Fig. 22).

This second collage representing Giurgiu city (Fig. 22) includes the Clock Tower in three images, and the Danube in other two.
Fig. 22. Giurgiu, historical and economic accomplishments near the Danube: the commercial harbour with barges on the Danube, the city centre with the Clock Tower and the slogan “Trăiască Partidul Comunist Român” [Long Live the Romanian Communist Party] on the roof of the nearby blocks of flats (a central proof of the state production in the housing sector), the road and railway bridge Giurgiu-Ruse, the Museum “Teohari Antonescu” and again the city centre (circulated in 1979, Meridiane Publishing House).

A new image is with a museum, bearing the name of a Romanian archaeologist, historian, and professor, who lived between 1866 and 1910. Set up in 1934, “Teohari Antonescu” County Museum functions starting with 1977 in the building of the former Vlasca Prefecture (built at the end of the 1800). This museum has five sections: archaeology (displaying artifacts from all epochs), ethnography, history, memorial history, and restauration-preservation. The exhibition in the history section was reorganised in 1977, on celebrating one hundred years from the Independence, presenting significant moments of the 1877-1878 war.

In addition, in the museum, there are displayed proofs of the appearance of Giurgiu, of the fight of Michael the Great against the Ottoman Empire, of historical monuments (abbeys, churches, laic buildings), of the locals’ contribution to the events of the 1848 Revolution, of the Independence War (1877-1878), and of the Romanians’ participation at World War I (1916-1918) (Muzeul Județean „Teohari Antonescu” Giurgiu, 2021). We see that this is the only new symbol introduced in comparison with the first collage, creating a narrative based on history, on the Danube and on present achievements (i.e. the modern socialist living in blocks of flats pictured in the city centre).

Olteniţa is represented through images with political, historical, and economic significance. The contemporary socialist society is referred to using three images out of the total four of the collage (Fig. 23).

Fig. 23. Olteniţa: Inscribing state power and claims to truth and knowledge about national identity. The Popular Council, the Monument of the Heroes from World War I, the Shipyard, the Mill for Cotton and Synthetical Fibres Olteniţa (publ. in 1974, OSETCM).

The settlement is the second city by number of inhabitants and importance in Călărași County (after Călărași city) and it is a harbour at the Danube. Olteniţa is created on the 23rd of April 1853. The basic function of the town is that of harbour, complemented, in time, by the industrial one (Ghinea, 2002, p. 914). Olteniţa shipyard was set up in 1940 (Fig. 23) (constructions and repair works for ships for freight and passengers, foundry, furniture factory, prefabricated elements for constructions, food, and light industry, etc.) (Ghinea, 2002, p. 914). The first image represents political power. The second one is that of a memorial: the Monument of the Heroes of Olteniţa in World War I 1916-1919, the great war for the union of all Romanians; authorship belonging to sculptor I. Iordănescu and architect I. Cernescu (radiotvoltenita.ro, n.d.). It was erected in 1930, becoming emblematic for the city.

The Mill for Cotton and Synthetical Fibres Olteniţa is presented in the last image (Fig. 23). Part of the five-year plan of 1966-1970, for reaching the established production level of textile industry, authorities decided the building of a new spinning mill. In 1967, the Prime Minister I. Gh. Maurer signs the act for setting up Filatura Olteniţa [The Cotton Mill Olteniţa], under the guidance and control of the General Direction of the Cotton Industry. The activity object is that of producing cotton and synthetical fibres. It starts production in 1968 and from 1973 it bears the name “Filatura de Bumbac Olteniţa” [The Cotton Mill Oltenița] (Arhivele Naționale ale României, n.d.).
An evocation of the mood is attached to images picturing people relaxing, talking with their friends in restaurants and terraces, enjoying free time and the cityscape or the landscape of the Danube (cf. Fig. 24 and Fig. 29). Thus, feelings are attached to these images. One can relate to the feel of the image also in the case of the two girls walking in the street in their school uniforms (Fig. 26). A sense of purpose is transmitted to the viewer.

Representations of the most necessary social services (for education, communication, and healthcare) were characteristic of rather small towns. Călărași was declared a municipium in 1968. It hosted a thermal power plant and iron and steel factories (Ghinea, 2002, p. 375). Where people appear, the city is represented as a place experienced and consumed by those inhabiting it. The socialist model of leisure is presented as a daily or urban practice (Fig. 24).

The word ‘agricultural’ has been in all names of the represented high school (Fig. 24) starting with 1948 when the Technical School of Agricultural Mechanics was set up in the town. In 1966, its name changes into the Agro-industrial High School Călărași (Măț, 2020).

Between 1836 and 1883, Brăila was porto francus (Ghinea, 2002, p. 207). Water transport was essential for Brăila, as this was one of the oldest means for transporting freight and persons along the Danube. After World War I, in Brăila, at the Agricultural Stock Exchange, the price of cereals in Europe was established. The significant spatial relation to the Danube is obvious due to systematization works at the beginning of the 19th century. In 1829, the Russian authorities of occupation decided to redraw the urbanistic plan, and this placed the new streets in the form of a crescent, where each street started from the Danube and stopped at it. For the River Station, Romanian style construction, the works were led by architect V. Hălăceanu and it was opened on the 8th of February 1909 (Munteanu, 2013, pp. 46-47).

The changes that appeared in the national economy after the 1950s led to a radical transformation of the freight structure processed by Brăila harbour. Established as a cereal harbour for a century, it shifts to raw materials for industry and processed products of the Romanian industry. The activity of the harbour increases quantitatively and qualitatively (Munteanu, 2013, p. 207).

The riverbank is an axis with two functions: economic and for leisure (including its landscape function), using old or new structures (Fig. 25). New social-spatial patterns are created redefining the territorial identity of these cities (Fig. 25, the right bottom corner). The tram (like Dacia car in other representations, cf. Ilovan, 2020d, p. 9) (Fig. 25, lower right corner, Fig. 27, lower left corner) in the image transfers its signifier as progress, modernity, to the urban landscape where it is pictured, or to the objects or buildings in front of which it is photographed. In 1901, tram city transport was introduced in Brăila. High-rise buildings underline the importance and centrality of the respective places (Fig. 25).

The Monument of Trajan was inaugurated in 1906, eighteen centuries after the Romans conquered Dacia. The memorial monument is a work of art belonging to sculptor Take D. Pavelescu and architect Ioan D. Trajanescu (Fig. 25). The idea of raising this...
monument was enabled by the visit of a group of Italian students in 1902. Thus, the statue was seen also as a symbol of friendship between the Romanian and Italian people (Dominus, 2011). On the plinth of the monument, there are encrusted three bas-reliefs made of bronze, representing the she-wolf feeding Romulus and Remus, a scene from the Dacian-Roman wars, and a miniature of the Trajan’s Column. At the basis of the monument, there is an old man that shows to a child the way to the Emperor. Through the inscription “Lui Marcu Ulpiu Traian, românii recunoscători” [To Marcu Ulpiu Traian, from the grateful Romanians], it is invoked the Latin origin as a factor maintaining the ethnic and cultural continuity of the Romanians. This group of statues, with a stone and marble plinth, reminds the viewer of the Dacian and Roman genesis of the Romanians and it is an emblem of Brăila (Dominus, 2011).

From the second half of the 19th century, the building of the shipyard started for major repair works (shipyard since 1864 and docks since 1883) (Fig. 25) (Ghinea, 2002, p. 207).

Until the Romanian revolution of 1989, the focus was on the forced industrialisation of the city’s economy, within the Romanian one. Besides the extant factories, authorities opened the Chemical Factories and the Celluloses and Paper Factories in Chişcani (they function starting with 1958), and the Thermal Power Plant. The Electric and Thermal Power Plant (1,950 MW), from Chişcani, starts functioning in 1973 (Fig. 26) (Ghinea, 2002, p. 206).

Besides industry, in the second collage for Brăila, appear references to its Danubian identity (Fig. 26). The Monument “Docherul” [the Dockworker], realised in 1956 by the sculptor Mirea Ştefănescu is made of a marble statue representing a worker, placed on a travertine plinth. The worker’s face is vigorous, dynamic, his sight is unshaken, transmitting to the viewer the attributes of his social class. The statue also evokes a Bolshevik hero (who fought against the Romanian Army and was trialled for high treason) (Ciubotaru, 2018). This statue is now in Galaţi. Some of these monuments could be placed on the list of communism tourism and industrial heritage tourism (Ciubotaru, 2018).

The city of Galaţi has a rich history due to its position on the Danube and to the existence of the Danube-Main-Rin Canal, the most important European commercial route on a major river. This city is an important harbour, attested as such in the 15th century; this harbour had a defining role in developing fishing, and it was an important commercial centre on the Danube. The life of the human communities was influenced directly by the Danube. In 1484, this town is the only harbour of Moldova. Starting with the 18th century, Galaţi becomes the largest market on the inferior sector of the Danube and the most important harbour for the export of wood, cereals, honey, etc., fact confirmed by Dimitrie Cantemir in his Descriptio Moldaviae, where he reminded the fact that it was “the most famous borough of the entire Danube” (Ghinea, 2002, pp. 585-586). Due to its transformation into
porto-franco in 1836-1883, the town registered higher commercial and economic development, becoming, in 1841, the second town of Moldova (as importance) after Iaşi (Ghinea, 2002, p. 586). Economy developed based on the shipyard, the harbour, the iron and steel factories, and the ore harbour.

In 1944, the city of Galaţi is bombed by the American and German aviation in their retreat and most of the buildings, dwellings and parks are destroyed (Ghinea, 2002, p. 586). In 1945, the authorities begin the reconstruction of the city according to the communist model. In the first image of the collage, it is pictured the residential neighbourhood Țiglina 1 (Fig. 27), representation of the residential utopia, the socialist solution meant to solve a crisis of housing in the growing and industrialised urban areas.

Such representations of success were meant to justify further massive interventions in the urban landscape. Țiglina 1 is one of the first neighbourhoods of blocks of flats built in the 1960s. It lied on a loess stratum on the second terrace of the Danube. The allocation of an apartment was “a crucial part of the communist-era reward system” (cf. Borén and Gentile, 2020, p. 94) and a means of legitimising the system. Large, open spaces, which lost the social function are presented (i.e. the disappearance of narrow traditional city streets). The large and long streets were no longer meeting places, pedestrian, and habitable ones, but only channelling people to their destinations. Mass-housing represented the socialist vision of urban functionalism, including dwelling, transportation, and recreation. In this collage, we see images of socialist planning, architecture, and infrastructure facilities (Fig. 27).

In the same collage (Fig. 27), it is represented city transport with trams. In 1902, the network of electrical trams had 13 km. Before introducing the tram, in Galaţi, they used carriages, buses and tramcars (pulled by horses), after the model of those in Bucharest. In 1927, the electrical tram network was 15.5 km long, spread over eight streets and serviced by 23 wagons. In 1956, the communist authorities contacted a Swiss firm to install a tram of high speed on the river front (Bădiţă, 2013).

The social mission of transforming the city, to match the planning ideologies and the redistributive polices of the new egalitarian system, was embodied in representations of urban development. The paternalistic housing policy was reflected in the renewal of the Romanian city and in an attempt to create affective communities within the new neighbourhoods, made of people who were grateful to the regime for an increased quality of living and a sense of home. Modern socialist living was a promise that translated into reality through the blocks of individual apartments. The images with new blocks of flats and neighbourhoods in Galaţi (Fig. 27 and 28) showcase the Romanian aspirations to socialist modernity.

The representations of the urban housing project were those of prosperity, economic and political power, and, above all, of equality due to the socialist vision about development in a fair society, based on merit and satisfaction of needs for all. Housing was a key piece in the creation of the socialist way of life. In the 1970s and 1980s, mass-housing districts produced of prefabricated materials and based on standardized designs were a major marker of the socialist nature of space in the urban area (cf. Young and Drummond, 2020, p. 304). Panel housing was a trademark in Romania, as in other socialist countries of the Eastern Europe, bringing uniformity in architectural style (Ilovan, 2020d). This was the blueprint of the new apartment neighbourhood recognisable in socialist towns and cities during that period and after. These modernization works were presented as heroic deeds in the golden age of the Romanian worker (cf. Ilovan, 2020d, 2021a, 2021b, 2021c).

The second collage represents Galaţi as a Danubian city, with a modern and prosperous urban lifestyle and a cultural emblem (Fig. 28).

The first image in the collage is that of a theatre (Fig. 28). The works at the theatre building started in 1924 and were finished in 1941. With 1955, the State Theatre Galaţi started its functioning. From 1973 on, it bears the name Dramatic Theatre Galaţi (Ilovan, 2020d). The second image is that of the shipyard. Created in 1883 as G. Fernic et Comp, the small foundry and repair works shop extended in 1897 by including a shipyard. Until World War II and during this, the shipyard was the most important Romanian one, followed by the ones in Constanţa, Drobeta Turnu Severin, and Brăila (Damen Shipyards Group, 2021). Here they built river and sea ships. The Navigation Palace (The River Station) is
realised in 1912 according to the plans of architect Petre Antonescu (Ghinea, 2002, p. 587).

People were represented enjoying spatial comfort in the city. Faleza Dunării [Danube Riverfront] (Fig. 29) is one of the representative areas of Galați, a classical walking route. Representations of leisure practices are frequent besides the key elements of socialist progress which are the buildings: for housing, for economic activities, for consumption, for culture, etc. In Fig. 29, we can see spaces for entertainment, rest and relaxation as leisure activities which can be performed by urban inhabitants and which contribute to constructing the idea of the model citizen within state-driven leisure organization.

![Galați](image)

**Fig. 29.** Galați, testimonies of urban vitality and development: Riverfront, Dramatic Theatre, Restaurant “Pescarul” [The Fisherman], Hotel “Galați”, Ships on the Danube, Navigation Palace-River Station (publ. in 1975, Meridiane Publishing House).

The collage also testifies that Galați was the second important harbour of Romania (after Constanța), with a connection to the Black Sea, as the Danube is maritime from this city. Then, Restaurant “Pescarul” [The Fisherman], built in 1968 on the riverfront, in Mazepa area, placed centrally in the collage, underlines Danube-related leisure activities. The view towards the riverfront is varied as it is built on two levels and in an architectural succession of fishing boats, creating the perfect lotus (Soroceanu, 2020). This narrative is completed by Hotel “Galați” and the Danube. In other postcards, appears also the Universal Store “Modern” which was, in 1970s and 1980s, among the main commercial areas of Galați. These commercial, architectural, and cultural achievements place Galați into the representation pattern of Romanian socialist cities (Ilovan, 2020d). Representations of this city showcase iconic images of achieving socialist territorial development goals.

4.5. “Misreading” official visuality and interacting with representations

In researching official visual imagery and discourses, an important idea to consider is that both images and viewers are not passive, they have their own agency, they can change or resist preferred meanings. Different audiences will read images differently (Rose, 2014, p. 33). Viewers’ experiences and kinds of knowledge are brought together in the moment of viewing and alter the individual’s way of seeing. Thus, the meanings of the visual image are renegotiated depending on the circumstances of watching. Rose underlines that the social is “perhaps the most important modality for understanding the audiencing of images” (2014, p. 31). What is the position of the viewer in relation to these images? What is expected from the viewer when looking at these images? Places influence the viewing of images, and social processes shape the way people produce and interpret semiotic resources (Rose, 2014, p. 139).

Meanings of picture postcard collages were sometimes changed in use. An instance of such change is the text on the verso of the collages, written by senders, showing how these images and their narrative were used and transformed by their first audience (then further changed, possibly by the addressees). For a start on audiencing picture postcards, the senders’ texts on the verso, which refer to the images on the front, could be used. With comments on the back of picture postcards, images are experienced differently by viewers, from the intended message of advertising an achievement or tourist promotion.

Here, we exemplify this situation with a picture postcard of Cernavodă, one among the many where the sender interacted with the images (We did not insert the picture postcard for privacy reasons). In the south-east of Cernavodă, river harbour, there is the watergate for entering and exiting the Danube-Black Sea Canal.

On the back of this postcard representing Cernavodă, there are arrows showing explanations to the images on the front side (the collage is made of three images). An arrow from the upper right image with the bridge (part of the complex of railway bridges built between 1890 and 1895 by engineer Anghel Saligny) goes on the verso where the sender says that there he unfolds his activity of “guiding and whacking the military young man!”; then, from the photo on the left, representing again the bridge, the sender writes “I think that I will end up like this if I stay much longer here”; finally, the third image represents the Headquarters of the Popular Council and from this an arrow goes to the back of the picture postcard and the sender writes ironically that that was “the meeting place of the high society in Cernavodă”.

The sender signs assuming the identity of “the canal worker”, using a postscript to mention: “This is my brutification state!” The postcard was not stamped; if sent it most probably was sent in an envelope that could hide its contents. However, a question remains open: What is the effect of this image on the viewer, as addressee, when received?
4.6. Discussing visual intertextuality and the discourse in school textbooks and picture postcards

School textbooks and picture postcards were powerful knowledge-based discursive practices which used photographs “in a specific regime of truth, so that photographs were seen as evidence of ‘what was really there’” (Rose, 2014, p. 193). We considered textbooks and picture postcards collages as exhibitions on the theme of economic progress, producing visual intertextuality. Collages share representations characteristic to the evolution of the Romanian society across centuries. Progress is represented as naturally continued by communists as they were the continuators of other historical personalities’ work.

These images bring a renewed symbolisation of territorial representation; space is appropriated first physically and symbolically by those in power. The spatial symbolism of the Danubian settlements is just a case study about the entanglements between political power and education aiming to influence the Romanians’ visuality of their country. The common or popular belief that photographs picture the real is exploited by the visual discourse in school textbooks and picture postcards.

The geographical and historical context is highly significant for understanding the meanings of representations, so the context is to be understood and analysed too, by looking for answers to a series of questions as underlined by Rose (2014, p. 15, quoting Mitchell, 1994, p. 420): “who or what represents what to whom with what and where and why?” This social context mediates the impact of the seeing of an image. Postcards and textbook images can be considered as cultural representations precisely because the ways of producing and seeing them are culturally informed (or mediated). Like images, which are location specific cultural products, ways of seeing are context specific. This explains how the viewer’s seeing is prescribed through certain social practices and reading practices: when the same image is part of a school textbook or is displayed in a picture postcard.

In addition, the meanings from school textbooks could be easily transferred to images in picture postcards and enable the construction of certain correct meanings, due to the intertextuality that can be identified also for visual texts during that period. Moreover, visual discourses may produce habitual and historical ways of seeing, due to the repetition and transmission of representations over generations. Picture postcards and textbooks are the official conveyors of the “legitimate” specific territorial identities of Romania. They are memory-based and build memories for generations of Romanians. Representations of the cultural landscape during socialism contribute to the national narrative of Romania during that period and the present day.

Urban development representations were symbolically and politically charged. The presence of political power is usually expressed through at least one image in collages. The collages themselves display the city as a text, as a palimpsest, representing the most significant (for the political regime) symbols, constructing the identity layers of the urban area. Like previous research, we noticed increasing representations of economic development, since the previous period (cf. Ilovan and Maroşi, 2018).

Picture postcards have the function of advertising. They advertise socialist construction. Same of these images became symbols of development (e.g. Iron Gates I) or of the transformative power and coercion inflicted by the political regime (i.e. the Danube-Black Sea Canal). They act like a metaphor because a certain set of values is associated to them, as well as emotions, positive or negative. The analysed collages show the relationships between inhabiting the urban area, work, consumption of urban services and recreation. The signs in these collages carry higher-level meanings: for instance, the modernized urban area stands for the successful political and economic systems. They reflect the world according to the interests of those in power who in fact created them. Ideology is knowledge visually constructed by those in power to legitimize the political regime.

Spatial symbolism is most explicit through the representation of memorials highlighting historical personalities or heroes, military victories, political and economic achievements placed into highly visible places in the city. The political regime’s vision of history is translated into the events and heroes picked up for representation. Naming practices for these memorials and achievements (e.g. The Friendship Bridge) are relevant in the creation of the official discourse on territorial identities. Specific events and persons were promoted officially through using statues either created before or during socialism. Those created before were reinterpreted by displaying them in collages where new relations were constructed with other symbols.

What is the strategy used in picture postcards to make the association between past and present? First, representing them together, or side by side, in the same collage and thus becoming part of the same narrative. The spatial organization of displaying images in a picture postcard collage, how different representations are placed in relation to one another, help construct the “intended” narrative and may uncover hierarchies. These images were put together due to historical and economic considerations, although also aesthetic reasons were considered to showcase the beauty of the Romanian socialist cities. Urban space is represented as a desirable place to be or to live in.
In collages, the images are contemplated as part of a story. Through collages, the imaginary visitor of the presented places, is invited to follow a certain route and construct a particular narrative because of this guided viewing process. Signifieds (i.e. meanings) are transferred between signs in the same image (i.e. the image itself being composed of a collection of signs) or between images of the same collage. That is why collages are richer in meaning and create stories, due to the interaction among multiple images. The final signified is constructed and communicated through a collection of signifiers put together in the same image or collage. Images are signifier-signs. In structuralist geography, their signifiers had only one meaning (signified), absolute, unchangeable, while at present, in poststructuralist geography, signifiers have more meanings, depending on the readers/observers (Banini, 2019, pp. 208-210). However, it should be noted that only by having background information about the represented places, objects, people, etc., the viewer is capable to identify and understand the respective interaction and resulting narrative.

Then, repetition of visual markers in various sources is used as a persuasive strategy of the official visual discourse in order to claim truthfulness or scientific validity and certainty of its representations. The persuasiveness of the discourse consisted in rendering representations as natural, the only possible ones for the objects, people, and places it selected. The signifiers included in the city-text (cf. Dellenbaugh-Losse, 2020) are the following: monuments, buildings, urban planning, civil and industrial architectural interventions, and styles. These were thoroughly represented in images circulated in the media of picture postcards and school textbooks and their meaning was relative to the other signifiers in related representations. They create the Romanians’ belonging and identity based on particular tropes. In addition, long-term residence influenced the feelings of place-attachment to a home of the urban residents.

The pervasiveness of visual images about two major topics – heritage and development – is characteristic to the medium of picture postcards. The visual and narrative structures of collages, as well as the represented power relations (i.e. political, economic, social), are articulated through this visuality. Because this imagery was the official one (i.e. approved and produced by the state), it also claimed societal relevance concerning the way objects, topics and people were categorized.

These particular ways of seeing are intimately related to the ideological aims of the political regime and uncover the power structures embedded in the Romanian society. Images were intended to enchant the viewer with visions of socialist modernity, respectful for the past and careful about present and future and also to justify the politics of sacrifice.

Thus, picture postcards are also memorialization products, an official sanctioning of the preferred meaning attributed to places. Certain territorial identities are legitimized and other are delegitimized by lack of or scarce representation of their symbols.

According to these representations or stories in images, the new society was both materially prosperous and spiritually refined. The city is gratifying access to leisure activities promoting a set of values that help to construct the individual’s identity. From the state’s perspective, representations of leisure and culture, besides economic prosperity, contributed to the creation of the ideal city. These representations articulate also discourses about culture and art, and about how these should be experienced in the socialist society. Therefore, monuments in public spaces, houses of culture and theatres are foregrounded for three reasons: to display heritage, to evoke the past, and to show that culture is alive and supported by the state (represented as a key element of urban life). We could identify, in the case of these representations, that they are partly embedded in a path-dependency of discussing national identity, which reaches back to the interwar period and even to the beginning of the 20th century, when Greater Romania was created (in 1918), or to events in the 19th century (the 1848 Revolution and the Independence War).

These collages show that the political, economic, and cultural processes were reinforcing each other, their messages intersected and gained hegemonic status within Romanians’ spatial representations of development and the urban planning elite. These are architectural signs of institutions leading political, cultural, and economic activities. They contributed to Romanians’ making sense of what matters in economic development and historical memorialization. These can be perceived (if only part of them) as authentic socialist structures due to their attached symbols during that period.

These collages are a selection of images considering spatial transformation on a long-term. Which aspects of the represented city-text are the most significant for the regime (and should have been for Romanians) in the selected picture postcards? Historical memory is one significant trope. Organisation of space in the socialist city is another one. Some are overt symbols, other are more subtle. These collages display public places and landscapes, art and development, economic activities, and consumption, all are advertised.

The viewer is placed outside the represented landscape. The landmarks in the images are offered for consumption, and, at the same time, they guide the viewer, offering him or her a clear message. These are representations of the heyday of socialist urban development: landmark buildings, collective housing neighbourhoods, industrial sites, constructing a new
visual culture and architecture. Even if not all constructions were realised during socialism, they were integrated into the new story of socialist success and territorial development. They were all material proofs of the improved living standard. Still, these representations in picture postcards reflect many temporalities, besides the socialist one. However, all the previous ones are reinterpreted within the socialist narrative, which is the reference period of the photographs. The Danube and related activities are a central component of these cities’ territorial identities.

The main represented themes are the beautiful and resourceful Danube; Danube as a bridge among countries, not a border; the glorious ancient, recent, and communist past; the prosperous present, with the following subtopics: territorial development (constructions and industry), culture and leisure. Thus, one of the represented themes is the improved standard of living (due to the equal distribution of wealth in the Romanian socialist society).

Most of the images in textbooks on Danube and the Danubian settlements are repeated in picture postcards. Because “images work by producing effects every time they are looked at” (Rose, 2014, p. 13), it is logical to assume that a repetition of the same topics and even iconic images will have a pedagogical effect on the Romanian viewer.

As authors, the way we saw these images is written in our interpretation of them. Therefore, it is relevant for our research to reflect on our academic reading of the visual imagery and the researchers’ subjectivity such qualitative analysis involves. Nevertheless, we argue that the Romanian viewers during the socialist period could have made the same connections we did during our analysis and interpretation of these images. This is supported by us as we did the same or similar readings and had access to the same or similar texts that the respective audience had during that period. So, several decades later, we accessed the same visual imagery to identify the meanings that the respective viewers could have shared. However, an audience study should be realised to assess the impact of the visual discourse.

The figures we included in this article have also the function to convince the readers, in an active way, that our analysis of them is correct. Therefore, any academic discourse based on texts and their interpretation is an ideological one. It makes the readers believe that these images are not mere illustrations of what the article is telling them, but visual arguments themselves. These figures strengthen our argumentation, and, in addition, they give the readers the possibility to attach other layers of interpretation themselves.

The school and the audience (students, Romanians in general) are, besides us, co-authors of the discourse analysis, likewise the readers of this article. However, one should also consider that visual images have their own agency, and this exceeds the researcher’s interpretative effort and ability (cf. W.J.T. Mitchell, 1996; Rose, 2014, p. 223).

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this article, we explored the official visual discourse practice about territorial development and identities of the Romanian Danubian settlements in visual imagery during the socialist period. The two media and sources used were images in Geography of Romania textbooks and picture postcards produced and circulated during socialist Romania (1948-1989). We could identify historical heritage and territorial development as the main referent systems proposed by the regime for the metacode or dominant code (Hall, 1980, cited in Rose, 2014, p. 362) used in visual representations, as a reflection of the political ideology pervading the respective period. We concluded that the built environment is hosting stories about territorial identities. Both past and future are envisioned and interpreted based on the contemporary visual discourse ascribing meanings to the present. These representations create stories about nation and the national territory.

In socialist Romania, in the process of socialist development, a new urban spatial symbolism is created and established, needing to be represented and to become iconic. We argue that the legibility of development relies both on the material landscape and on the related visual or textual representations from that period. These materials attempted at shaping a set of values and the identities of the readers/viewers. They reflect certain choices about legitimate history, memory about the past, and territorial identity markers. The consequences of the new territorial and national narratives are significant on Romanians’ construction of their self-image and their belonging to places and to local, regional, and national communities. Exploring the socialist era Romanian identity construction of the Danubian settlements, we see that the landscape is a critical touchstone for group belonging and identities of the represented places.

Their visual representations are foregrounding the cultural narrative of the respective period. Such representations are codes needed to correctly interpret the socialist realities. The socialist cultural urban landscape is a representation of power and historical relationships, it is a palimpsest of signs. Here, the visual national narrative was constructed through urban systematization, architectural and artistic interventions. In this article, we underline the creation of symbolic places referring to the past and present and the status of the Danube in this narrative.

As one of the open political goals of the regime was the creation of a set of values for educating the New
Man: love and devotion to the homeland, to the Romanian people, and to the Romanian Communist Party (Copiș, 2015), we argue that visual representations are testifying this pedagogical endeavour. Therefore, we underline that Romanians inherit not only the built environment, but also the representations constructed during socialism. Representations have the attribute to freeze a certain slice of time and therefore they enable us to decode “the complex relationship between the evolution of a political and economic framework and urban spatial transformation” (Young and Drummond, 2020, p. 307). Ideologies and ideological past influence present regional development.

The political regime legitimized its power through a visuality favouring economic achievements and through remembrances of the past, in two ways, or based on two resources with repeated occurrences in representations. Two main narratives appeared in these representations – about the past and about the present – because urban development was represented from two perspectives: a historical one and a territorial one. These were pervasive in the visual discourse and appeared routinely. Our aim was not to confirm these through images used in illustrations, but to interpret the narratives created by adjoining such elements (development and historical heritage) and thus reveal their ideological status. The collages negotiated and established the significance of past to the present socialist society. Their messages promote values with socialist characteristics.

Travelling through Romania, in person or mediated by visual materials, was encouraged as a visual practice enabling knowledge acquisition according to a hegemonic visuality. Which are the highlights of this visual discourse on the Danubian settlements, and which are the common tropes? Most of these representations of development are displays of the city. The audience was taught to appreciate the Romanian landscape through the lens of development and heritage in the city area. The city is pictured through instances of its becoming process. An ongoing process of change and modernizing enabled Romanians to experience modernity as dynamic spatial transformation. The key themes are city as habitation, city as leisure, city as culture, city as work.

Socialist planning casts long shadows into the post-socialist present. Due to these representations, decades later, certain spaces are identified as socialist. These socialist identifications are based on the ideological aims and policy imperatives that led to their creation: for instance, mass-housing (due to their production features and design).

Such representations might influence decisions about redevelopment and historic preservation of the same spaces. The production of represented space led to place attachment in the case of both heritage images and economic progress ones. Romanians’ lived experience of the respective places would claim for preservation, especially of landmark individual buildings, which might engender a feeling of relationship to the past and their past life and thus of security and preventing alienation.

The representations of the cultural landscape of the Romanian Danubian settlements, likewise the respective landscape, are expressions of power and political choices that cannot be overstated. The objects and themes chosen for representations are symbols which exemplified political and economic superiority for the populace of Romania. Therefore, picture postcards with representations of Danubian settlements had a pedagogical intention rather than a touristic one.

Intersections of visual discourses underlie the impact of the workings of power, further established and enforced through education in school. The socialist city was intended to be a representative space from a political, economic, and social perspective, where authorities overwrote the socialist urban fabric and then represented it through officially condoned identity markers.

The analysed visual imagery shows that the political and economic transformation of Romania was strongly connected to spatial transformation. All these changes are reflected by the explored visual representations. The images offer insights into Romanian socialist urbanism that will later become “sites of socialist urban heritage” (Drummond and Young, 2020, p. 17). The past is brought selectively to the attention of the present through various memorialization objects having a cultural function in the socialist society, showing that not only the urban area, but also its representations were formatted during socialism. The socialist urban development pattern added another identity layer to the Danubian city and its image. In addition, the images introduce the new codes, signs, and stories of socialist urban life.

Our research is a grounded example, based on empirical visual evidence of how the communist system interacted with Romanians’ lives, by producing an officially condoned visual discourse and set of values. Our contribution to understanding socialist spatiality through a research of the Danubian settlements’ representations shows that, due to the official discourse, Romanians’ attachment to the Danube could be attachment to it as nature and as economic resource.

These representations constructed and affirmed local urban identities and also contributed to the official nation-building discourse. Visual representations were glorifying and justifying rapid changes to the urban fabric and directly participated at the socialist era Romanian identity construction. They impacted how the Romanians conceived, perceived, and lived the urban space (cf. Lefebvre, 1991) during socialism and after, but nevertheless, constructing
territorial identities at present could take the form of various and even diverging intentions when deciding the current use of the urban area (cf. Banini, 2017).

A limitation of this study is that our interpretation suffers from a sort of ‘bias’, it is a subjective one. We tried to solve this limitation by making our methodology as explicit as possible and thus our way of seeing these images and of interpreting them obvious to readers, as well as the cultural significance of those images. It should be noted that we underlined the links between the content of these images and the broader cultural significance of the images in the socialist context. We discussed these images, we made several insights in their uses, but attitudes to these images and how they were lived during socialism and post socialism could and should be explored in further research.
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